

Bartlett, Michele L.

n: Eugenie Reich [eugenie.reich@gmail.com]  
sent: Monday, February 06, 2006 10:01 PM  
To: Lefteri H. Tsoukalas  
Subject: RE: [Fwd: Re: News release on research paper being presented by Revankar at a conference in Europe]

Dear Lefteri,  
yes I surely think these details are quite important. Some other questions:  
I hope it is ok to send these more detailed questions, not because I doubt what you are saying, but only to help figure out what is going on and also to establish what statements, if any, could actually be made about the situation, which appears to be fairly complex.

How do you know that Rusi took down your apparatus / what evidence is there for this?  
Did he know you had negative results when he took it down?  
About the space in which your apparatus was positioned, in the basement? Was this his, yours, or joint space?  
About the space in which Yiban was: was this his, yours, or joint department space?  
Was Yiban's experiment completely separate to yours, or a related experiment, at the beginning of the period?  
On what date -- or thereabout -- did you first become aware of Yiban and Rusi running an experiment at Purdue?  
Who recruited Yiban to bubble fusion, and when?  
How did you make the threat to turn things over to the police -- was it directly to Rusi?  
Was it by email or in person?  
Do you have any evidence of having made the threat, right now?  
Did Rusi already know you had negative results at the time he took down your experiment?  
On what date did you make an offer to Rusi to come to Purdue?  
When did he arrive?  
Were you initially involved with that effort eg you provided space?  
On what date -- or thereabout -- did you first become aware of Yiban apparently having a positive result?  
Summer 2002 - 2003 your paper says were running tests in the basement area,.  
So did anything happen with your experiment between 2003 and summer 2004 when it was taken down by Rusi?

Something about Adam Butt: I spoke to him today. I did not tell him why I am asking particular questions (anyway, he already knows the community questions the independence of the experiment). So, he says it is part of his master's thesis, and that he co-wrote the paper with Yiban, to his knowledge not with Rusi, and he thinks his name was on it from an earlier stage than last minute.  
Of course it is possible he does not know about Rusi's involvement, or, that he was not forthcoming when speaking to me.  
But, I would like to clear the air for my own sense, whether you think it is possible this last-minute thing is something that you assumed because you did not see him involved earlier, and because you already were suspicious the paper looked like a set-up, or, if this is something he told you. (In any case, this cannot be reported easily either way if he is refusing to confirm, but, as I say I would like to try and figure out the contradiction to myself.)

Best Wishes,  
Eugenie

-----Original Message-----

From: Lefteri H. Tsoukalas [mailto:tsoukala@purdue.edu]  
Date: 06 February 2006 19:35  
To: Eugenie Reich  
Subject: Re: [Fwd: Re: News release on research paper being presented by Revankar at a conference in Europe]

One more detail I forgot to mention, but it is crucial to the story. In the summer of