

**Adams, Holly**

**From:** E Tsoukalas [eleutheriost@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 19, 2008 4:46 AM  
**To:** Adams, Holly  
**Subject:** Technical info and collusion between Revankar and Jamieson



Dear Holly,

Was there a coordinated effort to steer the Investigation Committee away from science content in Taleyarkhan's investigation?

We think so. Mason and Rutledge made repeated pronouncements that there was not evidence for scientific misconducts, Purdue officially told the world so much in exonerating RT. By excluding information readily made available, the Science question (is bubble fusion real or fraudulent) was omitted and hence Purdue can implicitly claim consistency with past efforts and RT can claim vindication for the Science of Bubble Fusion.

Consider the following. It appears that no technical information from us ever reached the Committee. Purdue did not share either the Committee (as we requested) our reports criticising the Tritium measurements (attached). As well as significant technical information made available in response to the bad-faith, retaliatory allegation against us as orchestrated by Leah Jamieson and Revankar (attached).

Yet lots of information was vitiated by the Committee portray us as pathological liars, gangsters, mafia-types, racists, rude and crude people, brutes, adulterers, in short, sub-humans.

This happened because of the broad and open collusion between Taleyarkhan and his allies and Dean Jamieson and Interim Head Vince Bralts.

Consider the astonishing well-documented collusion between Revankar and Jamieson to bring recently bad-faith retaliatory allegations of misconduct against us. The evidence includes the following:

1. Revankar has absolutely no expertise in the subject (low energy nuclear measurements), yet he files a very "technical" and ANONYMOUS allegation against us, which the Dean accepted and brought against us. Had it not be for the fact that we were able to unredact the heavily redacted document we would never know it was Revankar (whose credentials in this area are ).
2. Professor Bertodano made raised some points when he was summoned by the Dean to be served the allegation. Two weeks later Revankar supplemented his allegation specifically to include these points. There is taped evidence of the Bertodano-Jamieson exchange.
3. The Dean knew that Revankar was part of the 2002-2004 Purdue experiments and knew everything we did and was included in all meetings and mailings. Yet in 2007, and after very serious questions about his role in the misconduct were raised, she accepted and proceeded with an obviously bad-faith allegation against us.
4. The Dean refused to meet with us individually or as a group, instead forced us to retain counsel and talk to Kealey only, with thousands of dollars out of pocket expenditures for us.
5. After the Dean's Inquiry Committee exonerated us (Sept 07) the Dean should have raised questions about the retaliatory bad-faith allegation against us (as the Committee report pointed out). Instead, she rewarded Revankar by promoting him to Full Professor through a shameful Kangaroo Court type process.

Afteri

0412