

Date: Tue, 2 Sep 2008 19:09:23 -0800  
To: rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com; lewis@lewisandwilkins.com  
From: stevek  
Subject: ADAM BUTT SIGNED AFFIDAVIT???

Rusi, John,

Something just occurred to me.

With all the attention I have put into the details about affidavits, I now recall the alleged statement from Adam Butt. In my copy, there is no signature, there is no notary stamp, there is no statement about Butt's willingness to testify under oath, there is no statement about the non-signed document being "completely willingly and without influence and duress from any other individuals."

[http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/11/education/11purdue.html?\\_r=3&bl&ex=1179028800&en=0109c8f16c4caee4&ei=5087%0A&oref=slogin&oref=slogin](http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/11/education/11purdue.html?_r=3&bl&ex=1179028800&en=0109c8f16c4caee4&ei=5087%0A&oref=slogin&oref=slogin)

Chang wrote:

"Mr. Butt signed a statement that he did not participate in any of the experiments or the analysis of the data and that he had been added as an author to one of the papers a week before submission and was not aware that he was on the second paper until a week before it was presented at a conference."

JOHN, RUSI: ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY SIGNED STATEMENT FROM BUTT?

Steve

\*\*\*\*\*

At 06:16 AM 9/3/2008, Rusi Taleyarkhan wrote:

This is the statement which Tsoukalas's illegally set up investigation committee of early 2006 that Darla Mize has written about.

That statement attributed to Butt is very suspicious. Tsoukalas's henchman on his so-called fact-finding committee (unbeknownst to anyone at Purdue, including me) was Chan Choi. Members of that committee were chosen from what Darla has called the Mafia.

\*\*\*\*\*

Date: Wed, 3 Sep 2008 09:05:49 -0800  
To: rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com; lewis@lewisandwilkins.com

From: stevek  
Subject: RE: ADAM BUTT SIGNED AFFIDAVIT???

Rusi, John,

I do understand the context of the Butt statement.

However my question is: JOHN, RUSI: ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY SIGNED STATEMENT FROM BUTT?

Thanks,  
Steve

\*\*\*\*\*

From: Rusi Taleyarkhan <rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com>  
To: Steve Krivit, <lewis@lewisandwilkins.com>  
Subject: RE: ADAM BUTT SIGNED AFFIDAVIT???:+ Addtl. Emails (rpt->SKrivit;JL;9.3.08)  
Date: Wed, 3 Sep 2008 11:12:17 -0700

Nowhere could I find any signed statement from Butt. Per the records transmitted to us by Purdue, Butt transmitted two inputs: (a) first is his 2/23/2006 statement written in first person and third person to the illegal investigation committee of Tsoukalas; (b) second is the same verbage transmitted again to **Peter Dunn** in 9/2006 in conjunction with the formal Purdue committee that exonerated Taleyarkhan. Upon cross-examination during the Jan.31-Feb.2, 2008 cross-examination, Butt had his attorney (Charles Wilson) present with him.

Selander cross-examined Butt who was shaken up when reminded of having completely overlooked the documents he had submitted for his project work, the many close interactions he had with Xu for test cell construction for bubble fusion work and the information well ahead of time for his agreed-upon participation as co-author in Jan. 2005. The statement given by Butt to the illegal inv. committee set up by Tsoukalas is vividly contradicted by the signed sworn affidavits/statements from Xu, Revankar, and students [REDACTED].

\*\*\*\*\*

Date: Wed, 3 Sep 2008 13:55:22 -0800  
To: rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com; lewis@lewisandwilkins.com  
From: stevek  
Subject: Butt's cross-exam

Rusi,

Do you have any supporting evidence I can show for this?

thx

s

\*\*\*\*\*

From: Rusi Taleyarkhan <rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com>  
To: Steve Krivit  
CC: <lewis@lewisandwilkins.com>, <rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com>  
Subject: RE: Butt's cross-exam (rpt->s.krivit;9.3.08)  
Date: Wed, 3 Sep 2008 19:00:08 -0700

- 1) Butt's own Project summary submission of late 2004 in which it is stated that he was working on sonofusion experimentation. The work of the NED and NURETH-11 papers were part of his thesis. Indeed, he took credit for them as part of his MS Thesis.
- 2) Affidavit of Prof. Revankar and students [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who attest that they "saw" Adam Butt working during 2004 and 2005 regularly doing sonofusion experiments with Xu.
- 3) Email confirmation that Butt was fully aware that he was co-author of the group's (Xu,Butt,Revankar) paper in NURETH-11, Avignon,France. Awareness was documented in Jan-Feb.2005 whereas conference was held I think in Sept-Oct.2005 timeframe.
- 4) Xu, Revankar affidavits testifying that Butt worked with them conducting experiments for their joint paper.
- 5) Butt's own enthusiastic video testimony to the Provost of Feb.2005 during her visit right after he had signed off on the transmittal letter to NED journal and had agreed to work on the group's international conference paper for NURETH-11 in late 2005.

Does this show an individual who was being forced to do sonofusion research against his will; would someone look so enthusiastic if he were dejected at being forced to become co-author? For God's sake, this is an adult we are talking about who was getting married a few months later and who transmitted an email to Taleyarkhan in mid-Summer 2005 stating ".. would be honored to have you attend... you have been an inspiration."

Butt's statement claims he was not involved in any of the work and was told for example to go to France forpresenting the paper a few days before. In fact, he was extremely excited