Abundances of the elements: Meteoritic and solar

EDWARD ANDERS

Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637-1433, U.S.A.

and

NICOLAS GREVESSE

Institut d'Astrophysique, Université de Liège, B-4200 Ougrée-Liège, Belgium

(Received July 14, 1988; accepted in revised form November 2, 1988)

Abstract—New abundance tables have been compiled for C1 chondrites and the solar photosphere and corona, based on a critical review of the literature to mid-1988. The meteorite data are generally accurate to $\pm 5-10\%$. Significant discrepancies between Sun and meteorites occur only for Fe, Mn, Ge, Pb, and W; other well-determined elements agree to $\pm 9\%$ on the average. There is no evidence for group fractionations in C1 chondrites of cosmochemically similar elements (refractories, siderophiles, volatiles, etc.), but a selective fractionation of Fe cannot be ruled out. Abundances of odd-A nuclides between A = 65 and 209 show a generally smooth trend, with elemental abundances conforming to the slope defined by isotopic abundances. Significant irregularities occur in the Nd-Sm-Eu region, however, suggesting that the abundance curve is dependably smooth only down to the ~20\% level.

1. INTRODUCTION

THIS PAPER IS a new edition of the abundance compilations of ANDERS and EBIHARA (1982; hereafter AE) for meteorites and GREVESSE (1984a,b) for the solar photosphere. Although meteoritic values have changed little, many photospheric values, including those for C, N, O, have improved greatly in recent years, mainly due to the use of highly accurate transition probabilities, and thus permit a critical comparison of solar and meteoritic abundances. In addition to better data, our new abundance tables contain many small improvements, such as better coupling of solar and meteoritic scales, better isotopic abundances, etc.

Section 2 of this paper presents the new abundance tables. Sections 3 and 4 review the elements individually for meteorites and the solar photosphere, showing how the values were obtained and discussing uncertainties. Section 5 presents values for the solar corona, from spectroscopy as well as measurements of solar wind and solar energetic particles (SEP). Section 6 reviews data for noble gases. Section 7 compares photospheric, coronal, meteoritic, and cometary abundances, as well as trends in *s*-process and *r*-process nuclides.

2. ABUNDANCE OF THE ELEMENTS AND NUCLIDES

2.1. Solar-system abundances¹: Elements

Abundances on the Si = 10^6 scale, based mainly on C1 chondrites, are given in Table 1, along with the values of *AE*. Columns 4 and 5 give the population standard deviation and the number of C1 analyses averaged. Parentheses mean that data for other meteorite classes were included, requiring a more involved procedure (Sec. 3). Columns 7 and 8 give

abundances by weight and the number of analyses for the Orgueil meteorite. Column 6 gives weight abundances for a nominal C1 chondrite of Si = 10.64%, calculated from the *atomic* abundances in column 2. These values, rather than those for Orgueil, should be used whenever abundances by weight are needed (*e.g.*, for normalization to C1 chondrites), as they are fully consistent with the atomic abundances in Column 2 (except for the incompletely condensed elements H, C, N, O and the noble gases).

Comparison with previous data. All together, the values for 61 elements have been reevaluated since 1982 (Fig. 1), but in only one case did the change exceed 20% (Hg) or one standard deviation (Hf). Apparently meteorite analyses have converged to the point where most elements are known to better than 10%. This seems to be true even for elements estimated indirectly from other meteorite classes. These indirect estimates by AE were confirmed in all four cases (B, Nb, Ta, W) where they were checked by direct analyses on C1's.

Mass fractions (X, Y, Z). From the abundances in Table 1, we have calculated mass fractions of H, He, and heavier elements (usually referred to as X, Y, Z in the astronomical literature). The values (%) are given below, with an alternative set for the solar Fe value (log Fe = 7.67) in parentheses. The uncertainties are conservative estimates, calculated by varying the individual elements within their error limits.

$$X (H) = 70.683 (70.643) \pm 2.5\%$$
$$Y (He) = 27.431 (27.416) \pm 6\%$$
$$Z (Li-U) = 1.886 (1.941) \pm 8.5\%$$

The mass fraction of Si (for log Fe = 7.51) is 0.0698891%. With this value, it is easy to calculate from Table 1 mass fractions of various condensates or element groups.

2.2. Solar abundances

Table 2 gives solar photospheric abundances on the usual logarithmic ("dex") scale relative to hydrogen $[A_{\rm El} = \log(N_{\rm El}/$

¹ A few definitions. *Solar-system* abundances (formerly known as *cosmic* abundances) are best estimates for the entire solar system. They are based on meteorites except for H, C, N, O and noble gases, where solar and other astronomical data were used. *Solar* abundances are best estimates for the Sun. They are based mainly on photospheric data, augmented as needed by solar wind, SEP, or other astronomical (but not meteoritic) data.

Table I. Solar-System Abundances of the Elements, Based on Meteorites (Atoms/106 Si)

Element	This Work*	Anders & Ebihara (1987)*	σ(%)	Nţ	Mean Cl Chondr.‡		Orgueil [§]		N
1 H	2.79 ×10 ¹⁰	2.72 ×10 ¹⁰					2.02	8	2
2 He	2.72×10^9	2.18 ×10 ⁹			1.60		56	nL/g	
3 Li 4 Be	0.73	0.78	9.2	(8)	24.9	ppm oob	24.9	ppm ppb	0
5 B	21.2	24	10	1	870	ppb	870	ppb	1
6 C	1.01×10^7	1.21×10^7					3.45	8	7
7 N 8 O	3.13×10^{7} 7 38 $\times 10^{7}$	$2.48 \times 10^{\circ}$ 2.01 $\times 10^{7}$	10				46.4	ppm *	4
9 F	843	843	15	7	60.7	ppm	58.2	ррт	5
10 Ne	3.44×10^{6}	3.76 ×10 ⁶	14		~~~~~		203	pL/g	
11 Na 12 Ma	5.74 × 10*	1.075×10^{6}	7.1	20	9.89	ppm %	4900	թթա *	11
13 AI	8.49×10^4	8.49×10^4	3.6	19	8680	ppm	8690	ppm	13
14 Si	1.00 × 10 ⁶	1.00×10^{6}	4.4	9	10.64	8	10.67	8	4
15 P 16 S	1.04 × 10 ⁻	1.04×10^{-1} 5 15 $\times 10^{-5}$	10	4	6.25	ppm %	5.25	ppm %	2
17 CI	5240	5240	15	10	704	ppm	698	ppm	8
18 Ar	1.01 × 10 ⁵	1.04×10^{5}	6				751	pL/g	7
19 K 20 Ca	611×10 ⁴	611×10^4	7.1	15	9280	ppm ppm	9020	ррт рот	12
21 Sc	34.2	33.8	8.6	18	5.82	ррт ррт	5.83	ррт	12
22 Ti	2400	2400	5.0	7	436	ppm	436	ppm	7
23 V 24 Cr	1.35×10^4	1.34×10^4	7.6	13	2660	ppm nom	2660	ррт орт	9
25 Mn	9550	9510	9.6	20	1990	ppm	1980	ррт	12
26 Fe	9.00 ×10 ²	9.00 × 10 ⁵	2.7	19	19.04	8	18.51	8	14
27 C0 28 Ni	4.93×10^4	4.93×10^4	5.1	27	1.10	9 pm 8	1.10	ppm %	21
29 Cu	522	514	11	8	126	ppm	119	ppm	5
30 Zn	1260	1260	4.4	27	312	ррт	311	ррт	17
32 Ge	119	118	9.6	31	32.7	ppm ppm	32.6	ppm	23
33 As	6,56	6.79	12	18	1.86	ppm	1.85	ppm	13
34 Se	62.1	62.1	6.4	18	18.6	ppm	18.2	ppm opm	10
36 Kr	45	45.3	18		5151	ppa	8.7	pL/g	7
37 Rb	7.09	7.09	6.6	19	2.30	ppm	2.30	ppm	13
38 Sr 39 V	23.5	23.8	8.1	18	1.56	ppm nnm	1.53	ppm nom	4
40 Zr	11.4	10.7	6.4	5	3.94	ррт	3.95	ppm	5
41 Nb	0.698	0.71	1.4	2	246	ppb	246	ppb	2
42 Mo 44 Ru	2.55	1.86	5.4	9	712	рри ррр	714	pp0 ppb	5
45 Rh	0.344	0.344	8	(7)	134	ppb	134	ppb	0
46 Pd	1.39	1.39	6.6	25	560	ppb	556	ppb	17
47 Ag 48 Cd	1.61	1.69	65	30	686	ppb	680	ppb	21
49 In	0.184	0.184	6.4	24	80	ppb	77.8	ppb	16
50 Sn	3.82	3.82	9.4	11	1720	ppb	1680	ppb	9
51 SB 52 Te	4.81	4.91	18	17	2320	ppb ppb	2270	ppb ppb	115
53 I	0.90	0.90	21	(11)	433	ppb	433	ррь	0
54 Xe	4.7	4.35	20		107		8.6	pL/g	6
56 Ba	4.49	4.36	6.3	20	2340	pph opb	2340	ppn daa	8
57 La	0.4460	0.448	2.0	4	234.7	ppb	236	ppb	9
58 Ce 59 Pr	1.136	1.16	1.7	(20)	603.2 89.1	ppb	619	ppb	8
60 Nd	0.8279	0.836	1.3	4	452.4	ppb	463	ppb	11
62 Sm	0.2582	0.261	1.3	4	147.1	ppb	144	ppb	10
63 E.u 64 Gal	0.0973	0.0972	1.0	4	- 36.0 196.6	ppb pph	199	ppb ppb	17
65 Tb	0.0603	0.0589	2.2	(21)	36.3	ppb	35.3	ppb	4
66 Dy	0.3942	0.398	1.4	4	242.7	ppb	246	ppb	6
68 Er	0.0889	0.0875	1.3	(23)	55.0 158.9	ppb ppb	162	ppb pph	6
69 Tm	0.0378	0.0386	2.3	(20)	24.2	ppb	22	ppb	1
70 Yb	0.2479	0.243	1.6	4	162.5	ppb	166	ppb	12
72 Hf	0.0367	0.0369	(1.9)	(3)	104	ррр ррр	108	ррБ ърБ	3
73 Ta	0.0207	0.0226	1.8	2	14.2	ppb	14.0	ppb	1
/4 W 75 Re	0.133	0.137	5.1 QA	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	92.6 36.5	ppb	92.3	ppb	3
76 Os	0.675	0.717	6.3	16	486	ppb	483	рро ррб	12
77 Ir	0.661	0.660	6.1	36	481	ppb	474	ppb	27
79 Au	0.187	0.186	1.4	41	990 140	ppb pph	9/3	ppb pph	27
80 Hg	0.34	0.52	12	-	258	ppb	258	ppb	0
81 TI 82 Ph	0.184	0.184	9.4	18	142	ppb	143	ppb	12
83 Bi	0.144	0.144	8.2	13	114	рро ppb	111	ррв ррв	7
90 Th	0.0335	0.0335	5.7	9	29.4	ppb	28.6	ppb	1
92 U	0.0090	0.0090	8.4	16	8.1	ppb	8.1	ppb	17

Abundances of Mg, S, and Fe are based on average of mean values for individual meteorites. For the remaining elements, a straight average of all acceptable analyses was used. Dashes indicate solar or interpolated abundances, parentheses mean that the abundance is based at least in part on meteorites of other classes. See Sec. 3.6 for explanation of the REE values. ⁴The Cl chondrie mean (for Si=10.64%) is calculated from the atomic abundances in column 2, using the relation C = 3.788 × 10⁻³ HA, where C = weight concentration (ppm), H = atomic abundance, and ξ = atomic weight. However, these values have not been renormalized to 100%.

A = atomic weight. However, these values have not been renormances to 10.77. ⁵Abundances of HCNO in Orgueil are from sources given in Anders & Ebihara (1982). Abundances of noble gases (in 1.1/g or 1/2 at STP) are not for the elements but for the principal isotopes He¹, Ne²⁰, Ar & Kr²⁴, and Xe¹² (12; Mazor et al., 1970). As He and Ne in bulk Orgueil are derived mainly but the three the sources of the three three the sources are the NaOH element of the Orgueil from the solar wind, the indigenous values for these two are based on ratios for NaOH-etched Orgueil silicates: $Ne^{2U}/Ar^{36} = 0.27$ and $He^4/Ne^{20} = 277$ (J2).



FIG. 1. Comparison of new solar-system abundances with those of ANDERS and EBIHARA (1982).

 $N_{\rm H}$) + 12.00]. Individual results are discussed in Sec. 4. For comparison, column 3 shows the meteorite-based solar-system abundances from Table 1, converted to the astronomical scale by adding 1.554 to the log of the abundance (see below). Column 4 gives the log of the ratio Sun/meteorites. The ratio itself is plotted in Fig. 2 against atomic number.

The conversion factor from the solar abundance scale (log $N_{\rm H} = 12.00$) to the meteoritic scale ($N_{\rm Si} = 10^6$) has been derived by comparing the solar-meteoritic ratio, $R = \log (sol/$ met), for all elements. This comparison shows that R for well-determined elements clusters between 1.52 and 1.58, with a maximum around 1.55. We have adopted R = 1.554 \pm 0.020, the mean for 12 elements (Na, Mg, Si, Ca, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Y, Zr, Nb, and Mo). This ratio is very close to previous estimates by MEYER (1979), CAMERON (1982), and AE: 1.57 \pm 0.16, 1.576, and 1.566 \pm 0.023. The nominal uncertainty in coupling the two scales now is only $\pm 5\%$.

2.3. Solar-system abundances: Nuclides

Abundances of individual nuclides are given in Table 3. For radioactive and radiogenic nuclides their abundances 4.55 AE ago are given in italics, based on half-lives from HOLDEN (1985a,b,c and priv. commun.), except for K⁴⁰, where the value recommended in geochronology (1.2505 · 109 yr, STEIGER and JÄGER, 1977) was used.

For isotopic compositions, we generally used terrestrial rather than solar values, except for H and noble gases. Solar values are hard to measure accurately by spectroscopy, as the isotopic shifts of atomic lines are small compared to the width of photospheric lines. Only for C and O can accurate isotopic ratios be obtained, using the infrared vibration-rotation bands of CO. These values confirm the terrestrial ratios in Table 3.

Isotopic abundances generally are the terrestrial "representative isotopic compositions" recommended by IUPAC (HOLDEN et al., 1984; and HOLDEN, priv. commun.). In cases where the recommended composition gave the abundance of a rare isotope to only one significant figure, we either took that value from the "best measurement from a single natural source" (Se, Os), or used the entire "best" analysis, when it appeared that the recommended composition was merely a rounded-off version of the best analysis (Zn, La, Dy). We also used the latest analyses for Ga (MACHLAN et al., 1986), Sn (ROSMAN et al., 1984), Sb (DELAETER and HOSIE, 1988), Te (SMITH and DE-LAETER, 1986), and Hg (ZADNIK et al., 1989).

The IUPAC compositions are intended to represent "the chemicals and/or materials most commonly encountered in the laboratory". not necessarily "the most abundant natural material" (HOLDEN et al., 1984). This makes a slight difference for light elements (Li, B, C,

Table 2. Abundances in the Solar Photosphere $(\log N_{H}=12.00)$

Element	Photosphere*	Meteorites [†]	PhotMet.
1 H	12.00	[12.00]	-
2 He	[10.99 ±0.035]	[10.99]	- 215
3 L1 4 Be	1.16 ±0.10	1.42 ±0.04	-0.27
5 B	(2.6 ±0.3)	2.88 ±0.04	(-0.28)
6C 7N	8.56 ±0.04	[8.56]	
80	8.93 ±0.035	[8.93]	-
9 F	4.56 ±0.3	4.48 ±0.06	+0.08
10 Ne 11 Na	$\begin{bmatrix} [8.09 \pm 0.70] \\ 6.33 \pm 0.03 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} [8.09 \pm 0.10] \\ 6.31 \pm 0.03 \end{bmatrix}$	+0.02
12 Mg	7.58 ±0.05	7.58 ±0.02	0,00
13 AI	6.47 ±0.07	6.48 ±0.02	-0.01
15 P	$5.45 \pm (0.04)$	5.57 ±0.04	-0.12
16 S	7.21 ±0.06	7.27 ±0.05	-0.06
17 CI 18 Ar	5.5 ± 0.3	5.27 ± 0.06 1656 ± 0.101	+0.23
19 K	5.12 ±0.13	5.13 ±0.03	-0.01
20 Ca	6.36 ± 0.02	6.34 ±0.03	+0.02
21 Sc 22 Ti	4.99 ± 0.02	4.93 ±0.02	+0.01
23 V	4.00 ±0.02	4.02 ±0.02	-0.02
24 Cr 25 Mn	5.67 ±0.03	5.68 ±0.03	-0.01
26 Fe	7.67 ±0.03 [‡]	7.51 ±0.01	+0.16‡
27 Co	4.92 ±0.04	4.91 ±0.03	+0.01
28 NI 29 Cu	4.23 ± 0.04	4.27 ± 0.02	-0.06
30 Zn	4.60 ±0.08	4.65 ±0.02	-0.05
31 Ga	$2.88 \pm (0.10)$	3.13 ± 0.03	-0.25
32 Ge 33 As	5.41 ±0.14	2.37 ±0.05	
34 Se	-	3.35 ±0.03	-
35 Br 36 Kr		2.63 ± 0.08 3.23 ± 0.07	1
37 Rb	2.60 ±(0.15)	2.40 ±0.03	+0.20
38 Sr	2.90 ±0.06	$\begin{bmatrix} 2.93 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.22 \pm 0.02 \end{bmatrix}$	-0.03
40 Zr	2.60 ±0.03	2.61 ±0.03	-0.01
41 Nb	1.42 ±0.06	1.40 ±0.01	+0.02
42 MO 44 Ru	1.92 ±0.05 1.84 ±0.07	1.96 ±0.02 1.82 ±0.02	+0.02
45 Rh	1.12 ±0.12	1.09 ±0.03	+0.03
46 Pd 47 Ag	(0.94 ± 0.04)	1.70 ± 0.03 1.24 ± 0.01	(-0.01)
48 Cd	1.86 ±0.15	1.76 ±0.03	+0.10
49 In 50 Sn	(1.66 ± 0.15)	0.82 ± 0.03	(+0.84)
51 Sb	$1.0 \pm (0.3)$	1.04 ±0.07	-0.04
52 Te	-	2.24 ±0.04	-
55 I 54 Xe	_	1.51 ±0.08	_
55 Cs	-	1.12 ±0.02	-
56 Ba 57 La	$1.2.13 \pm 0.05$ 1.22 ± 0.09	2.21 ± 0.03 1 20 ± 0.01	-0.08 +0.02
58 Ce	1.55 ±0.20	1.61 ±0.01	-0.06
59 Pr 60 Nd	0.71 ± 0.08	0.78 ±0.01	-0.07
62 Sm	1.00 ±0.08	0.97 ±0.01	~0.03
63 Eu	0.51 ±0.08	0.54 ±0.01	~0.03
64 Gd 65 Th	(-0.1 ± 0.04)	1.07 ± 0.01 0.33 ± 0.01	+0.05
66 Dy	1.1 ±0.15	1.15 ±0.01	-0.05
67 Ho 68 Fr	(0.26 ± 0.16)	0.50 ± 0.01	(-0.24)
69 Tm	(0.00 ± 0.15)	0.13 ±0.01	(-0.13)
70 Yb	$1.08 \pm (0.15)$	0.95 ±0.01	+0.13
72 Hf	(0.78 ± 0.50) 0.88 $\pm (0.08)$	0.12 ±0.01	+0.15
73 Ta	-	0.13 ±0.01	-
74 W 75 Re	(1.11 ± 0.15)	0.68 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.04	(+0.43)
76 Os	1.45 ±0.10	1.38 ±0.03	+0.07
77 Ir 78 Pt	$1.35 \pm (0.10)$ 18 +03	1.37 ±0.03	-0.02 +0.12
79 Au	(1.01 ± 0.15)	0.83 ±0.06	(+0.12)
80 Hg	(0.0	1.09 ±0.05	
82 Pb	(0.9 ±0.2) 1.85 ±0.05	2.05 ±0.03	-0.20
83 Bi	0.10	0.71 ±0.03	-
90 Th 92 U (<	$= 0.12 \pm (0.06)$ ≤ -0.47	0.08 ± 0.02 -0.49 ± 0.04	+0.04

Values in parentheses are uncertain.

[†]Values in brackets are based on solar or other astronomical data. [‡]See text (Sec. 4.3).



FIG. 2. Comparison of solar-system ("meteoritic") and photospheric abundances.

O) and for elements with small radiogenic components (Sr, Nd, Hf, Os). It makes a much larger difference for elements that are strongly depleted in the Earth (H, N, noble gases) or are largely radiogenic (Pb). For the first group, we have retained the IUPAC compositions, in the expectation that any reader concerned about these small differences will consult the references cited by HOLDEN *et al.* (1984) and papers on isotope geology. Our choices for the second group are discussed below.

For hydrogen, we recomputed the protosolar D/H ratio (GEISS and BOCHSLER, 1981) for our He/H ratio, again using the difference between He³/He⁴ in solar wind, $(4.9 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-4}$ (COPLAN et al., 1984; BOCHSLER, 1987) and in meteoritic "planetary" He (1.42 ± 0.2) $\cdot 10^{-4}$ (REYNOLDS et al., 1978; JEFFERY and ANDERS, 1970). The resulting protosolar D/H—(3.4 ± 1.0) $\cdot 10^{-5}$ —is somewhat larger than the previous value of $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (GEISS and BOCHSLER, 1981), but agrees with the results for Jupiter and Saturn (GAUTIER, 1983; OWEN et al., 1986). It is larger, though, than the latest value for the interstellar medium, $0.8 \le D/H \le 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (BOESGAARD and STEIGMAN, 1985). For an error analysis, see GEISS and BOCHSLER (1981).

For *nitrogen*, it is difficult to find a truly representative value, as trapped (mainly solar-wind) nitrogen in lunar soils shows a \sim 35% increase in N¹⁵/N¹⁴ during the last 2.5 \cdot 10⁹ yr (KERRIDGE, 1980; CLAYTON and THIEMENS, 1980; GEISS and BOCHSLER, 1982). We have adopted the terrestrial atmospheric ratio, as it falls within the solar-wind range. For *lead*, we have used the most accurate analysis for Orgueil (TATSUMOTO *et al.*, 1976).

For noble gases, we used mainly solar-wind values. However, for He³/He⁴, we again used $1.42 \cdot 10^{-4}$, the ratio in "planetary" He, since the solar ratio has been raised by deuterium burning. The situation for Ne²⁰/Ne²² is quite complex as this ratio varies widely: present solar wind in Al-foils = 13.7 ± 0.3 (GEISS, 1973); older solar wind in lunar soils = 13.3 ± 0.1 (WIELER et al., 1986); low-energy SEP in lunar soils = 11.3 ± 0.3 (WIELER et al., 1986) and in meteorites = 10.6 ± 0.3 (BLACK, 1972); high-energy SEP = 7.7 (+2.3, -10.6)-1.5) or 9.2 (+1.9, -1.8) (DIETRICH and SIMPSON, 1979; MEWALDT et al., 1984), which becomes 7.6 (+1.7, -1.5) after correction for Q/M-dependent fractionation (MEWALDT and STONE, 1987), or various kinds of "planetary" Ne in meteorites: Ne-A1 or -A2 = 8.4 - 8.9 (TANG and ANDERS, 1988) and Q-Ne = 10.1–10.6 (ANDERS, 1988). Much of the planetary Ne is associated with isotopically anomalous Ar, Kr, Xe (ANDERS, 1988) and thus is not a good candidate for primordial solar-system Ne. This leaves SEP-Ne or solar-wind Ne. The latter seems more appropriate, as its lower energy makes isotopic fractionations less likely (GEISS, 1973). Indeed, its isotopic composition is less variable than that of SEP's, as shown by a comparison of present-day measurements with

E. Anders and N. Grevesse

Table 3. Abundance of the Nuclides (Atoms/ 10^6 Si)

Elemer	it, A	Atom Percent	Process*	Abund. [†]	Eleme	11, A	Atom Percent	Process*	Abund. [†]	Eleme	nt, A	Atom Percent	Process*	Abund. [†]	Eleme	nt, A	Atom Percent	Process	Abund. [†]
1 H	12	99.9966 0.0034	υ	2.79×10 ¹⁰ 9.49×10 ⁵	30 Zn	64 66 67	48.63 27.90 4.10	Ex,E E E,S	613 352 51.7	51 Sb	121 123	57.362 42.638	R,s R	0.177 0.132	71 Lu	175 176 176	97.41 2.59	R,s S	0.0357 0.000951 0.001035
2 He	3 4	0.0142 99.9858	U,h? U,h	3.86×10 ⁹ 2.72×10 ⁹	21.0-	68 70	18.75 0.62	E,S E,S	236 7.8	52 Te	120 122 123	0.09 2.57 0.89	P S S	0.0043 0.124 0.0428	72 Hf	174 176	0.162 5.206	P S	0.000249 0.00802 0.00793
3 Li	0 7	92.5	Û,x,h	4.28 52.82	SIGa	71	39.892	S,e,r	15.1		125 125 126	7.10 18.89	R,s R,S	0.342 0.909		177 177 178	18.606 27.297	R,s R,S	0.0287 0.0420 0.0210
4 Ве 5 В	9 10	100 19.9	x x	4.22	32 Ge	70 72 73	20.5 27.4 7.8	S,e S,e,r e,s,r	24.4 32.6 9.28		128	31.73 33.97	R	1.520		180	35.100	S,R	0.0541
60	11	80.1 98.90	X He	16.98 9.99×10 ⁶		74 76	36.5 7.8	e,s,r E	43.4 9.28	53 I 54 Xe	127 124	100 0.121	R P	0.90 0.00571	73 Ta	180 181	0.012 99.988	p,s,r R,S	2.48×10 ⁻⁶ 0.0207
	13	1.10	H,N	1.11×10 ⁵	33 As	75	100	R,s	6.56		126 128	0.108	P S P	0.00509 0.103	74 W	180 182 183	0.13 26.3	P R,s R e	0.000173 0.0350 0.0190
/ N	14 15	99.634 0.366	H H,N	$3.12 \times 10^{\circ}$ 1.15×10^{4}	34 Se	74 76 77	0.88 9.0 7.6	P S,p R,s	0.55 5.6 4.7		130 131	4.35 21.69	SR	0.205		184 186	30.67 28.6	R,s R	0.0408 0.0380
80	16 17 18	99.762 0.038 0.200	He N,H He,N	2.37×10 ⁷ 9.04×10 ³ 4.76×10 ⁴		78 80 82	23.6 49.7 9.2	R,s R,s R	14.7 30.9 5.7		132 134 136	26.50 9.76 7.94	R,s R R	1.24 0.459 0.373	75 R e	185 187 187	37.40 62.60	R,s R	0.0193 0.0324 0.0351
9 F	19	100	N	843	35 Br	79 81	50.69 49.31	R,s R,s	5.98 5.82	55 Cs	133	100	R,s P	0.372	76 Os	184	0.018	P	0.000122
10 Ne	20 21 22	92.99 0.226 6.79	C C,Ex He,N	3.20×10^{3} 7.77 × 10 ³ 2.34 × 10 ⁵	36 Kr	78 80 82	0.339 2.22 11.45	P S,p S	0.153 0.999 5.15	30 D a	130 132 134 135	0.100 0.101 2.417 6.592	P S R,s	0.00453 0.109 0.296		180 187 187 188	1.56	S R,s	0.0107 0.0108 0.00807 0.0898
11 Na	23 74	100 78.00	CNeEx	5.74×10 ⁴		83 84 86	11.47 57.11	R,s R,S	5.16 25.70 7.84		136 137 138	7.854 11.23 71.70	S S,r S	0.353 0.504 3.22		189 190 192	16.1 26.4 41.0	R R	0.109 0.178 0.277
12 Mg	25 26	10.00 11.01	NeExC NeExC	1.07×10 ⁵ 1.18×10 ⁵	37 Rb	85 87	72.165 27.835	R,s S	5.12 1.97	57 La	138 138	0.089	P S -	0.000397	77 Ir	191 193	37.3 62.7	R R	0.247 0.414
13 A1 14 Si	27 28	100 92.23	Ne,Ex O.Ex	8.49×10 ⁴ 9.22×10 ⁵	38 Sr	87 84	0.56	р	2.11 0.132	58 Ce	139	0.19	P	0.00216	78 Pt	190 192	0.0127 0.78	P S	0.000170 0.0105
	29 30	4.67 3.10	Ne,Ex Ne,Ex	4.67×10^4 3.10×10^4		86 87 87	9.86 7.00	S S	2.32 1.64 <i>1.51</i>		138 138 140 142	0.25 88.48 11.08	P S,r R	0.00284 0.00283 1.005 0.126		194 195 196 198	32.9 33.8 25.2 7 19	R R R R	0.441 0.453 0.338 0.0963
15 P	31 32	100 95.02	Ne,Ex	1.04×10^{-5} 4.89×10^{-5}	39 Y	88 89	82.58 100	S,r S	4.64	59 P r	141	100	R,S	0.167	79 Au	197	100	R	0.187
	33 34 36	0.75 4.21 0.02	Ex O,Ex Ex,Ne,S	3.86×10^{3} 2.17×10^{4} 1.03×10^{2}	40 Zr	90 91 92	51.45 11.22 17.15	S S S	5.87 1.28 1.96	60 Nd	142 143 <i>143</i>	27.13 12.18	S R,S	0.225 0.101 <i>0.100</i>	80 Hg	196 198 199	0.1534 9.968 16.873	P S R,S	0.00052 0.0339 0.0574
17 CI	35 37	75.77 24.23	Ex Ex,C,S	2860 913		94 96	17.38 2.80	Š R	1.98 0.320		144 145 146	23.80 8.30 17.19	S,R R,s R,S	0.197 0.0687 0.142		200 201 202	23.096 13.181 29.863	S,r S,r S,r	0.0785 0.0448 0.1015
18 Ar	36 38 40	84.2 15.8	Ex O,Ex S Ne	8.50×10^4 1.60×10^4 26	41 Nb 42 Mo	93 92	100 14.84	S P	0.698 0.378		148 150	5.76 5.64	R R	0.0477 0.0467	81 71	204 203	6.865 29.524	R R.S	0.0233
10.12	40	02 2501	5, 1 B	25±14		94 95 96	9.25 15.92	P R,s	0.236 0.406 0.425	62 Sm	144 147 147	3.1 15.0	P R,s	0.00800 0.0387 0.0399	82 Pb	205 204	70.476	S,R S	0.1297
19 K	40 40	0.0116	7 S,Ex,Ne	0.440 5.48		97 98	9.55 24.13	R,s R,s	0.244		148 149 150	11.3 13.8 7.4	S R,S S	0.0292 0.0356 0.0191	0210	206 206	19.12	R,S	0.602
20 Ca	41 40	6.7302 96.941	Ex Ex	253.7 5.92×10 ⁴	44 Ru	96	9.83 5.52	к Р	0.246		152 154	26.7 22.7	R,S R	0.0689 0.0586		207 207 208	58.31	R,s	0.630 0.644 1.837
	42 43 44	0.647 0.135 2.086	Ex,O Ex,C,S Ex,S	395 82.5 1275		98 99 100	1.88 12.7 12.6 17.0	P R,s S P (0.0350 0.236 0.234 0.316	63 Eu	151 153	47.8 52.2	R,s R,s	0.0465 0.0508	83 Bi	208 209	100	R,s	1.828 0.144
	48	0.187	E,Ex	114		102 104	31.6 18.7	R,S R	0.588 0.348	64 Gd	152 154 155	0.20 2.18 14.80	P,s S R.s	0.00066 0.00719 0.0488	90 Th	232 232	100	RA	0.0335 <i>0.0420</i>
21 Se 22 Ti	45 46	100 8.0	ExNeE	34.2 192	45 Rh	103	100	R,s	0.344		156 157	20.47	R,s R,s	0.0676	92 U	235 235	0.7200	RA	6.48×10 ⁻⁵ 0.00573
	47 48 49	7.3 73.8 5.5	Ex Ex Ex	175 1771 132	46 Pd	102 104 105	1.020 11.14 22.33	P S R.s	0.0142 0.155 0.310	(5 m)	160	21.86	R	0.0820		238	99.2745	RA	0.00893 0.0181
22 V	50	5.4	Ē	130		106 108	27.33 26.46	R,S R,S	0.380 0.368 0.163	66 Dy	159	0.056	R P	0.0603	*Assig Came	nments	to nucleos	withetic proc	csses are from
2.5 ¥	51	99.750	Ex,E Ex	292	47 Ag	107	51.839	R,s	0.252		158 160 161	0.096 2.34 18.91	P S R	0.000378 0.00922 0.0745	1982), (1986,	Walter 1989)	et al. (198 , and Bee	36), Woosley r and Pen	and Hoffman whorn (1987).
24 Cr	50 52 53	4.345 83.789 9.501	Ex Ex Ex	587 1.131×10 ⁴ 1283	48 Cd	109	48.161 1.25	R,s P	0.234		162 163	25.51 24.90	R,s R	0.101 0.0982	minor shown	proces in lov	isted in the ises (10-309 ver case.	e order of im & for r- an See above	portance, with d s-processes) references for
25 M.	54 55	2.365	E E-E	319		108 110	0.89 12.49 12.80	P S PS	0.0143 0.201 0.206	67 Ho	165	100	R,S	0.0889	details U = H =	= cosi = hyd:	nological ni rog en burn i	cleosynthesi	÷
25 Min 26 Fe	54	5.8	Ex,E	5.22×10 ⁴		112 113	24.13 12.22	S,R R,S	0.388 0.197	68 Er	162 164	0.14 1.61	P P.S	0.000351	N = He =	= hot = holi	or explosive um burning	hydrogen bu	rning
	56 57 58	91.72 2.2 0.28	Ex,E E,Ex He,E,C	8.25×10^{9} 1.98×10^{4} 2.52×10^{3}		114	28.73 7.49	5,R R	0.463 0.121		166 167 168	33.6 22.95 26.8	R,s R R S	0.0843 0.0576 0.0672	O = Ne =	= Oxyg = nco	ien burning n burning		
27 Co	59	100	E,C	2250	49 In	113 115	4.3 95.7	p,s,r R,S	0.0079 0.176	60 Tm	170	14.9	R	0.0374	E =	- expl = aucl = s-pr	car statistic ocess	syninesis al equilibriur	n
28 NI	58 60	68.27 26.10	E,Ex E E E - C	3.37×10 ⁴ 1.29×10 ⁴ 557	50 Sn	112 114 115	0.973 0.659 0.330	P P,s	0.0372 0.0252 0.0129	70 Yb	168	0.13	к,s P	0.000322	RA = P =	• т-рг • г-рг • р-рт	ocess ocess produ occss	cing actinide	5
	62 64	3.59 0.91	E,Ex,O Ex	1770 449		116 117	14.538	S,r R,S	0.555		170 171 172	3.05 14.3 21.9	S R,s R,S	0.00756 0.0354 0.0543	X = † _{Italic}	cosr	nic-ray spall	ation abundances	4.55 × 10 ⁹ vr
29 Cu	63 65	69.17 30.83	Ex,C Ex	361 161		118 119 120 122	24.217 8.587 32.596 4.632 5.787	5,r 5,R 5,R R 8	0.925 0.328 1.245 0.177 0.221		173 174 176	16.12 31.8 12.7	R,s S,R R	0.0400 0.0788 0.0315	ago.			additees	ine of the ye

long-term averages in lunar soils; $+3 \pm 2\%$ for solar wind, $-33 \pm 15\%$ for SEP.

The Ar^{36}/Ar^{38} ratio is virtually the same in solar wind and in the Earth's atmosphere, which makes the choice easy. For Ar^{40} , we have used the lowest Ar^{40}/Ar^{36} ratio observed in meteorites, $(2.9 \pm 1.7) \cdot 10^{-4}$ in an 1850°C fraction from the Dyalpur ureilite (GÖBEL et al., 1978), which leads to $Ar^{40} =$ 25 ± 14 . Part or all of this Ar⁴⁰ could be radiogenic, but since the observed amount is only $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ that expected from in situ decay of K⁴⁰ in 4.55 AE (recalculated from BEGEMANN and OTT, 1983), it seems that radiogenic Ar⁴⁰ was very efficiently removed in the measurement of GÖBEL et al. (1978). Indeed, the observed value is remarkably close to the range predicted by s-process calculations, 24 ± 7 to 7 ± 2 for unpulsed or pulsed s-processes (BEER and PENZHORN, 1987, MACKLIN et al., 1989). We have therefore assumed that the Dyalpur fraction was nearly pure primordial Ar as of 4.55 AE ago, with only a negligible contribution of Ar⁴⁰ from K⁴⁰ decay.

For Kr and Xe, we used solar-wind compositions selected by GEISS and BOCHSLER (1985).

3. REVIEW OF METEORITIC ABUNDANCES

In this section we discuss the abundances of individual elements in Table 1, using the $Si = 10^6$ scale. After briefly explaining our methodology, we review the elements by increasing atomic number, omitting those for which no new data have become available since AE. Only new (or previously omitted) references are given, even though the revised abundances generally include all the earlier data from AE.

Methodology. We closely followed the procedure of AE. For each element, we first tabulated all published values for each meteorite, omitting only repeat publications or data obtained by obviously inaccurate methods. We then converted the data to atomic abundances, rejected obvious outliers, and calculated the mean for each meteorite. Replicates were generally counted as separate analyses except when they seemed less accurate than the data by other authors; in such cases only their mean was used. As in AE, papers that contained no usable data generally are not cited at all.

For the normalization to Si, we used the author's own Si value if Si was determined on the same sample. In all other cases, we used wet-chemical values: Orgueil 10.64%, Ivuna 10.60%, Alais 9.71% (WIIK, 1969), Tonk 10.47% (CHRISTIE, 1914, cited by MASON, 1963). Generally we averaged all analyses (= "analysis mean"), thus effectively giving greatest weight to the frequently analyzed Orgueil. But for a few elements that showed systematic differences among meteorites (Mg, Si, S, and Fe), we averaged the means for each meteorite, thus giving unit weight to each (= "meteorite mean").

One limit to analytical accuracy that has not been generally recognized is the variable water content of C1 chondrites. These meteorites contain about 8% of loosely bound water, which is lost at low relative humidities due to the transformation of MgSO₄ · 7 H₂O to the tetra- or (less often) monohydrate. Very few analysts specify their sample drying procedure, and most published data thus have an indeterminate error of 3 to 4% on top of the analytical error.

References. As in AE, we use an abbreviated code for references to analytical data, consisting of the first letter of the senior author's surname and a number. These references are numbered consecutively with those in AE, picking up where AE left off.

3.1. Li, Be, B

Lithium (K5). The 7 NAA analyses of M14 were not used as they showed up to two-fold differences between fragments and bulk samples. If included they would have lowered Li from 57.1 to 53.0.

Beryllium (S1, V2). The atomic absorption values of V2 are only 15% lower than the $(\gamma, 2\alpha n)$ data of Q1, and 10% lower than the colorimetric values of S1, which were previously rejected. Although the data of Q1 should be superior since they were based on large samples and a highly specific nuclear method, the differences were small, and we have therefore retained all three sets. None of the samples were C1's, and the data were therefore corrected by fractionation factors for refractories (K1): 1.11 for C2 and C3O, 1.33 for C3V (see Sec. 7.3, however).

Boron (C11). The latest measurements by Curtis and Gladney (C11) give an abundance of 21.1 ± 2 for Orgueil, close to the indirect value of 24 ± 7 of AE. The latter value had been derived from the data of C6 (mainly ordinary chondrites) by applying corrections for chondrule content, on the assumption that boron is moderately volatile and hence depleted in chondrules. The data for C2, 3 chondrites when similarly corrected show even better agreement with the new Orgueil value:

```
8 C2, 3 chondrites (C11): 18.5 \pm 3.3
```

21 C2, 3 chondrites (C11, C6): 20.1 ± 3.4 .

There is little to choose among these various estimates, but following C11, we adopt the new Orgueil value.

3.2. F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl

Sodium (G13).

Magnesium (G14)

Aluminum (G14). The scatter of the data of K1 was reduced by using their Al/Mg ratios rather than Al concentrations and converting them to Al/Si ratios via the Mg/Si ratio from Table 1.

Silicon (M2). The 1912 analysis of Tonk by Christie (M2) has been reinstated, as it looks pretty good except for low Mg, Cr and high alkalis. The mean Si content for the 4 Cl chondrites then becomes $10.38 \pm 0.45\%$. This has no effect on the Si = 10^6 normalization or the Si value (10.64%) for a "nominal" Cl chondrite, which is used to convert atomic abundances back to weight abundances in Table 1.

3.3. K, Ca, Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni

Potassium (B6)

Calcium. The Ca and Al values from Table 1 give a Ca/Al (weight) ratio of 1.07, slightly below the empirical mean of ~ 1.08 shown by most meteorite classes (AHRENS, 1970). However, we did not adjust our Ca value, as the difference is less than the uncertainty in the empirical mean, especially since the most recent data (K1) suggest slight variations in mean Ca/Al among C1-C3 chondrites, in addition to variations within the C1, C2 classes attributable to hydrothermal transport. It is not clear whether the mean C1 chondrite value is preferable to a grand average of all chondrite classes, but for consistency we have chosen the former. The difference is less than 2% in any case.

Scandium (G14, J3). Davis (1988, in preparation) has estimated indirect C1 abundances of Sc and several other refractory elements from their ratios to REE in chondrites, using a very precise set of REE abundances based mainly on isotope dilution analyses (Sec. 3.6). His value for Sc (6.066 ± 0.085 ppm) corresponds to an atomic abundance of 35.6 ± 0.5 , which is 4% higher than the value in Table

1 (but see Sec. 3.6). Vanadium (G14). Chromium (G14). Manganese (G13, G14). Cobalt (R8). Nickel (R8, T1).

3.4. Cu, Zn, Ga, Ge, As, Se, Br, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo

Copper (R8).

Zinc. The revised value uses individual analyses rather than means from C8 and K1.

Germanium. The revised value uses individual analyses rather than means from K1.

Arsenic (R8). Two low values from C7 and H1 were reinstated, as they were consistent with the new values by R8.

Selenium. Data by K1 are systematically high by $\sim 20\%$ compared to the remaining analyses (which agree within $\pm 3\%$) and have therefore been omitted.

Rubidium (B6).

Strontium (B6, G2).

Yttrium (J3). The new average of 4.64 ± 0.28 agrees exactly with the old, but the error is smaller. Three indirect estimates give similar values: 4.79 ± 0.33 from Y/Ho of 8 C-chondrites (J3), 5.16 ± 0.47 from Zr/Y of C-chondrites (J3), or 4.52 ± 0.12 from the Y/REE ratio of 14 chondrites (DAVIS, 1988, in preparation).

Zirconium. Following (J3), we deleted the data of G7, which gave a low Zr/Hf ratio and were 23% low compared to the mean of the remaining 5 analyses, 11.4 ± 0.7 . This value also gives reasonable Zr/Hf, Zr/Y, and Zr/Ho ratios, agreeing with those for C2 and C3 chondrites (J3). It is somewhat disturbing, though, that C2, C3O, and C3V chondrites (K3, J3) give a mean Zr abundance virtually identical to the C1 value, $11.7 \pm 1.0 vs$. 11.4 ± 0.7 , although K1 have shown that these three classes are generally enriched in refractories by factors of 1.11, 1.11 and 1.33. Either these fractionations do not apply to Zr or some of the Zr data are wrong. More high-quality analyses are obviously needed for Zr and Hf.

Niobium (J3). The first measurements on a C1 chondrite (J3) agree very well with the earlier, indirect estimate of AE, 0.698 vs. 0.71, and with the mean value from eight C1–C3 analyses: 0.706 \pm 0.038 (J3). Again, J3 find no systematic difference between C1's and other C-chondrite classes.

Molybdenum (R8). The new value (R8) is based on a slight ($\sim 1\%$) upward revision of the original data of P3.

3.5. Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Cd, In, Sn, Sb, Te, I, Cs, Ba

Palladium (L6). Seven new isotope dilution values by L6 have left the mean unchanged.

Silver (L6). The six isotope dilution values by L6 are only slightly lower than the 11 RNAA values used by AE, but have better precision: 0.486 \pm 0.014 vs. 0.529 \pm 0.050. We have therefore used only the data of L6.

Cadmium (L6).

Tin. BEER et al. (1988) have measured the neutron capture crosssection of Sn¹¹⁶, and have argued from s-process systematics that the Sn abundance ought to be 2.95 ± 0.23 rather than 3.82 ± 0.36 . It does not seem possible to lower the meteoritic value, which is based on 11 RNAA and ID measurements from four laboratories for three meteorites. The mean of the two isotope dilution values is close to the overall mean: 3.77 ± 0.17 vs. 3.82 ± 0.36 (as usual, errors are standard deviations of the population, not the mean).

Antimony (R8). The highly accurate metal extraction method of R8 gave 120 and 123 ppb for Orgueil, which agrees with the 1973-1978 Chicago RNAA values (K4, T1) but is markedly lower than many other measurements. We therefore rejected 13 Orgueil and Ivuna values that exceeded 155 ppb (an isotope dilution value by K3 chosen as a cutoff). For Alais, all four values were systematically high, and we therefore rejected only the highest value (209 ppb).

Tellurium (L6). The mean of nine isotope dilution values is only slightly lower than the mean of all 17 analyses: 4.69 ± 0.16 vs. 4.81 ± 0.47 .

Iodine. The indirect value for this element, based on ratios to F, Br, In, and Cd, is only negligibly affected by the change in Cd abundance.

Cesium (B6). The new values left the mean unchanged.

Barium (B6). The values of D2 and D5 were deleted, as they appeared to be not independent measurements but unreferenced data from the literature.

3.6. Rare earth elements, Hf, Ta, W, Re, Os, Ir, Pt, Au

REE (B6, J3). We have used the pattern derived by Davis (1988, in preparation), which was obtained in the following manner:

a. Relative abundances of polyisotopic REE were found by averaging four isotope dilution analyses for C1's (*B6*, *N2*).

b. For each of 19 C1 chondrite patterns in the literature, the mean REE enrichment factor was calculated relative to the reference pattern from (a), rejecting outlying enrichment factors for individual REE.

c. The grand mean of these mean enrichment factors was then used to normalize the absolute level of the reference pattern. (The 1σ error of the grand mean was $\pm 1.22\%$, but the individual means ranged from 0.924 to 1.129 the grand mean.)

d. Abundances of monoisotopic REE were found by normalizing literature analyses of C1 and other chondrites to the abundances of polyisotopic REE from (b), rejecting fractionated or irregular patterns. The concentrations of Sc, Y, Pr, Tb, Ho, and Tm in each meteorite were then normalized to a standard C1 by dividing them by the mean enrichment factor for polyisotopic REE in that meteorite. These C1-normalized abundances were then averaged.

The REE data in Table 1 were renormalized to Si = 10.64% from Davis' 10.57%. Errors include both the error in the pattern and that in absolute abundance. Because of this unconventional procedure, the number of analyses used for the *atomic abundances* in Table 1 requires some explanation. For polyisotopic REE, four C1 analyses were used for the relative abundances and ≤ 19 C1 analyses for absolute abundances. For monoisotopic REE, 20 to 23 analyses—including chondrites of other classes—were used. In contrast, the (*weight*) *abundances for Orgueil* in Table 1 were obtained by straight averaging, as usual.

Hafnium (B6). The analyses of K3 and S4 were rejected, as they were some 15% higher than the isotope dilution analyses of B6 (106.1, 107.1 ppb). Even the latter values gave an atomic Lu/Hf ratio slightly below the value inferred by B6 from isotope systematics: 0.233 vs. 0.243. As we did not feel justified in raising the REE, we lowered Hf from 0.159 to a compromise value of 0.154, which raised the Lu/Hf ratio to 0.238 while giving a Zr/Hf (weight) ratio of 37.9.

Tantalum (J3). The first measurements on a C1 chondrite (J3) gave an abundance of 0.0207, only 10% lower than the indirect value of AE. (D5 had previously given a C1 value of 17 ppb, corresponding to an abundance of 0.248, but this seems to be an unreferenced value by E6 for the Murray C2 chondrite.) Another indirect value can be obtained from the Sc/Ta ratio of 428 ± 3 in Cl and C2 chondrites (w/w; J3). With the Sc abundance from Table 1, it yields a Ta abundance of 0.0198, only 4% lower than the direct value.

Tungsten (R8). The mean of W2 and two new measurements by R8 agrees well with the indirect value of AE, which was based on an average of W2 and the C2-C3 chondrite mean (corrected for fractionation): 0.133 vs. 0.137.

Rhenium (R8).

Osmium (R8). The new values of R8 are near the low end of the range of previous measurements, and we have therefore rejected the highest four values used by AE (from C9 and E3).

The Orgueil value in Table 1 of AE should have been given as 504 ppb, not 699 ppb. (The latter number is the abundance on the Si = 10^6 scale, $\cdot 10^3$.)

Iridium (G14).

Platinum (R8). In light of the new data, the lvuna value of C9 was rejected (the Alais value had already been rejected by AE). Gold (R8).

3.7. Hg, Pb, Bi, Th, U

Mercury (B7). Mercury in C1 chondrites is too variable for a reliable abundance determination, and therefore has been customarily estimated by interpolation. A better approach is to use s-process systematics; specifically, the near-constancy of σN . BEER and MACKLIN (1985) have obtained a value of 0.34 ± 0.04 in this manner, using measured cross-sections and the abundances of neighboring elements from AE. As these abundances have hardly changed (Table 1), the above value should still be valid.

Lead. The value of *AE* remains unchanged. It agrees within error limits with a theoretical estimate by BEER and MACKLIN (1985): 3.15 \pm 0.25 vs. 2.85 \pm 0.19. However, this estimate assumes no s-process branching at Tl²⁰⁴; if such branching occurs, then the lead abundance will be smaller (BEER, 1988). See Sec. 7.2 for further discussion.

4. REVIEW OF SOLAR PHOTOSPHERIC ABUNDANCES

In this section, abundances are expressed on a logarithmic scale relative to log H = 12. Some of the elements in Table 2 have remained unchanged since the last critical compila-

tions by one of us (GREVESSE, 1984a,b). We shall therefore discuss only those elements that have been redetermined since 1984.

4.1. H, C, N, O

These major volatiles are incompletely condensed in meteorites, and thus the Sun is the only source of their solar-system abundances (LAMBERT, 1978; SAUVAL et al., 1984). Furthermore, since a fractionation process occurs in the chromosphere that depletes these elements in the corona (Sec. 5 and 7.1), only the photospheric results are reliable. For various reasons summarized by GREVESSE et al. (1987), the most accurate values come from a careful analysis of vibration-rotation and pure rotation lines of molecules such as CO, CH, OH, NH that are present in the solar infrared spectrum (SAUVAL et al., 1988, in preparation), as obtained from space by the ATMOS-SL3 experiment (FARMER et al., 1987). These new results (Tables 1 and 2) differ somewhat, for C and N, from those previously adopted by GREVESSE (1984a).

4.2. Li

Lithium (STEENBOCK and HOLWEGER, 1984).

4.3. Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe

Scandium (GREVESSE, 1988, in preparation).

Titanium (BLACKWELL et al., 1987; GREVESSE et al., 1988). Vanadium (WHALING et al., 1985; BIÉMONT et al., 1988).

Chromium (BLACKWELL et al., 1987).

Manganese (BOOTH et al., 1984).

Iron. Updating the discussion by GREVESSE (1984a), we note that the earlier results of BLACKWELL et al. (1984) have been confirmed by BLACKWELL et al. (1986), through study of faint Fel lines of rather low excitation ($\leq 2.6 \text{ eV}$) with accurately known oscillator strengths. This result, based on local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), is listed in Table 2. Actually, slight non-LTE effects in excitation have been discovered empirically in FeI (BLACKWELL et al., 1984), Til, CrI (BLACKWELL et al., 1987), and VI (BIÉMONT et al., 1988), all through the use of very high accuracy transition probabilities. These effects are taken into account in the corresponding abundances in Table 2.

A full non-LTE treatment of FeI-FeII by STEENBOCK (1985; see also HOLWEGER, 1988) shows that LTE-based abundances from FeI lines should be increased slightly (by ~ 0.05 dex) to allow for deviations from LTE. This would raise the abundance of Fe from 7.67 to 7.72, still farther from the meteoritic value of 7.51. A substantially lower value, 7.56 ± 0.08 , was obtained by O'MARA (priv. commun.), using transition probabilities of high-excitation Fe I lines (MILFORD et al., 1988), which should be less sensitive than low excitation lines to temperature and non-LTE effects.

Similarly, results from lines of FeII (by far the dominant species) should not be affected by slight departures from LTE. Although accurate transition probabilities for good solar FeII lines are very rarely available, promising efforts to measure them have been made by WHALING (1985), MOITY (1988, priv. commun.), and PAULS (1988). The latter author obtained gf values for 3 FeII lines in the near infrared, which lead to $A_{\rm Fe} = 7.63$. But a higher value, 7.68 ± 0.05 , was obtained in a reanalysis of ten forbidden Fe II lines (N. GREVESSE, unpublished work). Thus the question of the solar-iron abundance remains in a state of flux, but there is hope that full agreement will soon be reached by joint efforts of atomic physicists and astronomers.

4.4. Ge, Pb

Germanium (GREVESSE and MEYER, 1985). Lead (GREVESSE and MEYER, 1985).

4.5. Y, Zr, Nb

Yttrium, Zirconium. The results from GREVESSE (1984a), based on data by HANNAFORD et al. (1982) and BIÉMONT et al. (1981). have been recalculated on the basis of new ionization potentials (ZrI: HACKETT et al., 1986), with inclusion of a previously overlooked value for Y (GARTON et al., 1973).

Niobium (HANNAFORD et al., 1985).

4.6. Nd, Sm, Gd, Er, Au, Th

Neodymium (WARD et al., 1985).

Samarium. A new analysis by BIÉMONT et al. (1989, in preparation), based on new, accurate lifetimes of Sm II, has removed the discrepancy between the photospheric and meteoritic values.

Gadolinium (BERGSTRÖM et al., 1988).

Erbium (BIÉMONT and YOUSSEF, 1984).

Hafnium. Because the six Hf II lines used by ANDERSEN et al. (1976) are faint and heavily blended, the actual uncertainty of the abundance may be considerably larger than reported by these authors.

Gold. The value in Table 2 is the mean of 0.95 (YOUSSEF, 1986) and 1.08 (N. GREVESSE, unpublished data), both based on the same blended line in the UV.

Thorium. The value in Table 2 is based on a new study of the spectra of the full solar disk and its center (N. GREVESSE, unpublished data). The single Th line at 4019 Å is blended with a CoI line, the transition probability of which was determined only very recently (WHALING and LAWLER, unpublished data). With this correction, the Th value drops from 0.18 to 0.12.

5. SOLAR CORONA, SOLAR WIND, SOLAR **ENERGETIC PARTICLES (SEP)**

Excellent reviews are available on the problem of deriving abundances in the solar corona, either by spectroscopy or by measurement of particles originating in the solar corona, such as solar wind and SEP (MEYER, 1985a,b; GEISS, 1982; GEISS and BOCHSLER, 1985; BRENEMAN and STONE, 1985; BOCHS-LER, 1987). The most recent values are given in Table 4.

Spectroscopic measurements, summarized by MEYER (1985b), are available for H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ar, Ca, Fe, and Ni. All converge well, but their uncertainties are rather large (~ 0.20 dex or even up to 0.48 dex for some elements such as He or C).

NOCI et al. (1988) reconsidered the abundances of C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si and S in different regions of the solar transition zone (a coronal hole, a quiet region, and an active region). They concluded that the coronal abundances do not differ from photospheric abundances by

Table 4. Abundances in the Solar Coronal

Element	Spect	roscopic ²	Sola	r Wind ³	S.	E.P.4	Co	ona	Photo	spheres	Corona-
	í	-					Adc	pted		1	Phot.
1 H	11.88	±0.30	11.53	±0.08					=12.00	·	-
2 Не	(10.88	±0.48)	10.13	10.10	10.14	±0.06	10.14	±0.06	10.99	±0.035	-0.85
6 C	8.33	±0.48	7.88	±0.02	7.92	±0.04	7.90	±0.06	8.56	±0.04	-0.66
7 N	7.55	±0.23	7.42	±0.15	7.40	±0.03	7.40	±0.06	8.05	±0.04	-0.65
80	8.35	±0.20	8.25	±0.15	8.30	±0.03	8.30	±0.06	8.93	±0.035	-0.63
9 F	ļ	-	J	-	(4.00	±0.30)	(4.00	±0.30)	4.56	±0.30	(-0.56)
10 Ne	7.50	±0.20	7.48	±0.05	7.44	±0.04	7.46	±0.06	8.07	±0.18	-0.61
11 Na	6.40	±0.23		-	6.38	±0.04	6.38	±0.06	6.33	±0.03	+0.05
12 Mg	7.53	±0.11			7.59	±0.03	7.59	±0.06	7.58	±0.05	+0.01
13 AI	6.40	±0.23		-	6.47	±0.03	6.47	±0.06	6.47	±0.07	0.00
14 Si	=7.55	±0.11	=7.55	±0.13	-7.55	±0.03	=7.55	±0.05	7.55	±0.05	0.00
15 P		-	l	- 1	5.24	±0.06	5.24	±0.08	5.45	±0.04	-0.21
16 S	6.89	±0.23			6.93	±0.02	6.93	±0.05	7.21	±0.06	-0.28
17 CI		-	1	-	4.93	±0.14	4.93	±0.14	5.5	±0.3	-0.57
18 Ar	6.28	±0.26	5.85	±0.10	5.93	±0.06	5.89	±0.10	6.58	±0.18	-0.69
19 K		~		-	5.14	±0.17	5.14	±0.17	5.12	±0.13	+0.02
20 Ca	6.43	±0.20		-	6.46	±0.06	6.46	±0.08	6.36	±0.02	+0.10
21 Sc		-		-	(4.04	±0.40)	(4.04	±0.40)	3.10	±0.09	(+0.96)
22 Ti		-		- 1	5.24	±0.12	5.24	±0.13	4.99	±0.02	+0.25
23 V		-		-	(4.23	±0.40)	(4.23	±0.40)	4.00	±0.02	(+0.23)
24 Cr		-		-	5.81	±0.08	5.81	±0.09	5.67	±0.03	+0.14
25 Мл				~	5.38	±0.17	5.38	±0.18	5,39	±0.03	-0.01
26 Fe	7.55	±0.18	7.53	±0.27	7.65	±0.04	7.65	±0.06	7,67	±0.03	-0.02
28 Ni	6.29	±0.23			6.22	±0.06	6.22	±0.08	6.25	±0.04	-0.03
29 Cu		-		-	(4.31	±0.40)	(4.31	±0.40)	4.21	±0.04	(+0.10)
30 Zn		-		-	4.76	±0.18	4.76	±0.19	4.60	±0.08	+0.16

¹All logarithmic abundances have been normalized to the photospheric scale, with log A_{SI}=7.55. Parenthesized

Values are very uncertain. ¹Coronal spectroacopic results apply variously to the ordinary quiet corona, active regions, coronal holes, or Prominences; they are taken from a review by Meyer (1985b). The hydrogen value has been slightly modified from Meyer's value, on the basis of the Si/H and Ca/H ratios derived by Veck and Parkinson (1981). The helium value is

Meyer's value, on the basis of the SU/H and CarlH ratios derived by Veck and Parkinson (1981). The helium value is taken from prominence results (see Sec. 6.1). ³Prom a review by Bochsler (1987), except for Fe (Schmid et al., 1988). ⁴Prom Breneman and Stone (1987), except for Fe (Schmid et al., 1988). ⁴Prom Breneman and Stone (1985), except for Fe (Cohot et al., 1984). McGuire et al., 1986). We quote here the SEP-values corrected for the Q/M-dependent fractionation (SEP-derived corona in Table 1 of Breneman and Stone), which, however, depend on the assumed Fe/Si ratio (Sec. 71). ³From Table 2 except for Ne and Ar, which are the local galactic values of Meyer (1985a, 1988, 1989).

more than their rather large uncertainties (0.40 dex), except for O, which is underabundant.

Solar-wind (SW) values are available for H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Si, Ar, and Fe; their uncertainties are on the order of 0.05-0.20 dex (BOCHSLER, 1987). Within their error limits, the SW data agree with spectroscopic coronal values, though the match for log He/H is hardly significant in view of the large errors (SW = -1.40 ± 0.10 , corona -1.00 ± 0.48).

The data for SEP's in Table 4 (BRENEMAN and STONE, 1985) cover a wider range of elements and are quite accurate (generally $\pm 10\%$). Following the authors, we list values corrected for a residual charge/mass (Q/M) fractionation; the correction is small for light elements but reaches +0.10 to +0.18 dex in the iron group (Sec. 7.1).

The SEP values-even without this correction-and SW values generally agree within error limits with the spectroscopic values. We therefore took the SEP-SW mean for He, C, Ne and Ar, and SEP alone for the remaining elements as our best estimates for the outer layers of the Sun ("Corona, adopted" in Table 4). Differences between these values and photospheric ones (last column of Table 4) will be discussed in Sec. 7.1.

6. NOBLE GASES

6.1. He

Despite its high abundance and great astrophysical importance, helium is difficult to determine accurately.

In the Sun, He does not appear in the photospheric spectrum, and therefore can be determined only from prominence spectra, solar wind, solar energetic particles, or models of the solar interior. Prominence spectra yield very uncertain results, as the relevant physical processes are not well understood. Values of $N_{\rm He}/N_{\rm H}$ vary from 6.5 to 16% (HIRAYAMA, 1978; MILKEY, 1978; HEASLEY and MILKEY, 1978). In the solar wind (BOCHSLER, 1987), helium is extremely variable, but generally $N_{\rm He}/N_{\rm H}$ is rather low, $\sim 4 \pm 2\%$. A similar value is found for solar energetic particles (COOK et al., 1984; MCGUIRE et al., 1986). However, none of these results are reliable enough for our purposes, due to low accuracy (prominences) or possible fractionation (solar wind, SEP).

Giant planets are another source of He/H ratios, but at least Jupiter and Saturn (5.7 \pm 1.3% and 1.6 \pm 1.3%; CONRATH et al., 1984) seem to have been affected by fractionation. Only Uranus (9.2 \pm 1.7%; CONRATH et al., 1987) agrees with the result derived below.

We shall therefore turn to extrasolar sources, such as HII regions or hot stars. Many results are available (SHAVER et al., 1983; WOLF and HEASLEY, 1985; PEIMBERT, 1986; PAGEL, 1987, 1988; MEYER, 1989) and indicate a rather uniform abundance for media with roughly solar metallicity, $N_{\rm He}/N_{\rm H} \simeq 9$ to 11%. Values in the same range, 9.2 to 10.4%, come from recent standard solar models, which consistently give a He mass fraction, Y, between 0.264 and 0.288 (CAHEN, 1986; TURCK-CHIÈZE et al., 1988; BAHCALL and ULRICH, 1988). We therefore adopt $N_{\rm He}/N_{\rm H} = 9.75\%$ (Y = 0.275), with an uncertainty of $\pm 8\%$ (9% $\leq N_{\text{He}}/N_{\text{H}} \leq 10.5\%$; 0.26 $\leq Y \leq 0.29$).

6.2. Ne. Ar

Like He, C, N, O, these elements are fractionated relative to low FIP metals in the solar corona (Sec. 7.1). For this reason we shall again partly rely on local galactic values, *i.e.* for HII regions, HI gas, and stars, as reviewed by MEYER (1985a, 1989). As these data also agree very well with "photospheric" values derived from coronal spectroscopy, solar wind and SEP (corrected by a constant fractionation factor for all elements of Z > 2 with FIP > 11 eV), we have adopted the mean values: $\log Ne = 8.09$, $\log Ar = 6.56$ (Sec. 7.1). These values agree with a prominence measurement, which presumably refers to photospheric, not coronal material, as judged from the Mg/O ratio (WIDING et al., 1986). Moreover, the Ar value is only 3% smaller than the value obtained by interpolating Ar³⁶ between Si²⁸ and Ca⁴⁰ (CAMERON, 1973, 1982; AE).

6.3. Kr. Xe

For Kr, the latest value based on s-process systematics (45 ± 8 ; H. BEER, priv. commun., 1987; see also WALTER et al., 1986) agrees well with the earlier estimate of 45.3 (AE) obtained by Cameron's method (Kr⁸³ was interpolated between Br⁸¹ and Rb⁸⁵, and Kr⁸⁴ between Se⁸⁰ and Sr⁸⁸). The Xe value was obtained by graphically fitting Xe to the Te-I-Cs-Ba peak, using both the even- and odd-A nuclides. The result, 4.7, agrees with an estimate of 5.0 ± 1.0 based on sprocess systematics (BEER et al., 1983).

The corresponding elemental ratios may be compared with those of the solar wind and other estimates (Table 5). He⁴/Ne²⁰ again is high compared to the solar wind and SEP, apparently due to different depletions of He and Ne in the latter (Fig. 3; Sec. 7.1). The other ratios seem to have stabilized, although the individual abundances of all four noble gases have changed.

7. DISCUSSION

7.1. Photospheric vs. coronal abundances

It is well known that several different processes affect abundances in the solar corona (MEYER, 1985b; VAUCLAIR and MEYER, 1985; GEISS, 1985; BRENEMAN and STONE, 1985; GEISS and BOCHSLER, 1985; BOCHSLER, 1987 and references therein). There is clear evidence from abundance patterns that separation processes at relatively low temperature fractionate the gas supplied to the corona. Elements with high first ionization potentials (FIP), which are neutral at this temperature, are depleted relative to elements of lower FIP, which are ionized. Figure 3 shows this trend for data from Table 4: a \sim 4.5-fold drop in abundance occurs between 9.5 and 11 eV.

Thanks to the improvement in the data for CNO, some trends now appear with greater clarity than in previous versions of Fig. 3.

(1) The depletion is constant at -0.65 dex (factor of 4.5) for CNO, and presumably for all heavy elements of FIP \geq 11 eV. The trend confirms the "two-plateau pattern" for the atom-ion separation process suggested by COOK et al. (1979).

(2) H and He part company from the other elements. He lies ~ 0.20 dex below the high-FIP element plateau at -0.65dex, in SW as well as SEP. Hydrogen, which cannot be determined in SEP, lies slightly above this plateau in SW, but much higher, right on the low-FIP plateau, in the corona itself, according to spectroscopic data (Fig. 3). Similar characteristic deviations have been seen previously in galactic cosmic rays (MEYER, 1985b; GEISS and BOCHSLER, 1985). The reasons for this are not known, but these two elements are much lighter and more abundant than any of the others.

Correction for fractionation. It is not quite clear what correction is most appropriate for Q/M fractionation, as this

Table 5. Noble-Gas Ratios

	$\frac{\text{He}^4}{\text{Ne}^{20}}$	<u>Ne²⁰</u> Ar ³⁶	$\frac{Ar^{36}}{Kr^{84}}$	$\frac{Kr^{84}}{Xe^{132}}$	Ref.
Solar System	850	37	3320	20.6	a
Solar System	670	37	3380	22.5	ь
Solar System	780	26	3800	15.5	c
Solar System	400	40	2500	10	d
Solar Wind	570 ±70	45 ±10			e
Solar Corona, spectr.	-	17 +19,-9			Î f
SEP, Observed	480 <i>±90</i>	43 ±9			ghi

f. Meyer (1985b)

g. Breneman & Stone (1985) h. Cook et al. (1984)

i. McGuire et al. (1986)

a. This work

- b. Anders & Ebihara (1982)
 c. Cameron (1982)
 d. Marti et al. (1972)
- e. Bochsler (1987)

204



FIG. 3. Abundances of solar energetic particles (SEP) relative to the solar photosphere (Table 4). Elements K to Zn, of first ionization potential (FIP) < 10 eV, are present in normal abundance relative to Si, but elements C to Ne, of higher FIP, are depleted by an approximately constant factor. Apparently these elements are depleted in the corona by a process depending on FIP (or first ionization *time*, FIT). The points for P and S would fall closer to the dashed trend line if they were referenced to meteoritic rather than photospheric abundances. As H cannot be reliably determined in SEP, we plotted representative spectroscopic and solar-wind data from Table 4.

correction depends strongly on the adopted Fe abundance. BRENEMAN and STONE (1985) found that the SEP/photospheric abundance ratio for low-FIP elements—including Fe—fit a power-law in Q/M, and used this power-law as the correction factor, on the tacit assumption that these elements were not fractionated between photosphere and corona. An alternative, if extreme, approach would be to use no correctic n at all. The mean ratio log (SEP/photosphere) then becomes -0.61 dex (instead of -0.65) for high FIP elements and -0.01 ± 0.09 dex (instead of +0.07) for low FIP elements. In particular, the iron abundance then becomes 7.53 (close to the meteoritic value) instead of 7.65. Given these uncertainties in the Q/M fractionation correction, the SEP data cannot be used to resolve the discrepancy between photospheric and meteoritic iron abundance.

Gamma-ray spectroscopy of flares has provided a new technique for determining solar abundances, probably at chromospheric level (MURPHY *et al.*, 1985). Recent results (REAMES *et al.*, 1988) show that if the ratios Mg/O, Si/O, and Fe/O agree with SEP values, then Ne/O is about $3\times$ larger and even C/O may be larger. These results are very puzzling.

Mechanism and site of separation process. If FIP is the relevant physical parameter, then the fractionation must take place in low-T, *i.e.*, chromospheric-type, material $(T \simeq 10^4$ K) consisting of neutral and singly ionized atoms (MEYER, 1985b). Most likely, neutrals somehow diffuse away from the gas and enter the corona, whereas ions are prevented from doing so by the magnetic field. Two mechanisms based on this idea have been investigated thus far. VAUCLAIR and MEYER (1985) proposed gravitational settling of heavy neutrals in the ~6500 K temperature plateau of the middle chromosphere, with FIP as the key parameter. Others (*e.g.* GEISS, 1985) have considered diffusive loss of neutrals during the fast rise of matter from chromosphere to corona in spiculelike features that are rapidly heated; here the relevant parameter is the first ionization time (FIT), which is actually closely related to FIP.

Both mechanisms are very slow (days, for realistic parameters), compared both to turbulent mixing times in the chromosphere and to spicule lifetimes (5 min). A reliable value for the coronal abundance of H would provide strong constraints on these mechanisms.

Neon and Argon. We can derive photospheric abundances of these elements from the rather accurate SEP and solar-wind data, by adding the correction factor of 0.65 dex to the coronal values. We thus find $A_{\text{Ne}} = 8.11 \pm 0.10$ and $A_{\text{Ar}} = 6.54 \pm 0.10$; very close to the local galactic values of 8.07 ± 0.18 and 6.58 ± 0.18 derived from HII regions, HI gas, and stars (MEYER, 1985a, 1988). [A new analysis by MEYER (1989) again gives very similar coronal and local galactic values: $A_{\text{Ne}} = 8.08 \pm 0.06$ and 8.14 ± 0.10 ; $A_{\text{Ar}} = 6.55 \pm 0.08$ and 6.63 ± 0.20 .] This agreement is not likely to be fortuitous, and thus seems to support the underlying data: SEP and solar-wind abundances, a constant coronal fractionation factor for all heavy elements with FIP > 11 eV, and the astronomical measurements used for the local galactic estimates. We shall therefore adopt for the solar system the means of the solar and local galactic values, with an estimated uncertainty of $\pm 25\%$: $A_{\text{Ne}} = 8.09 \pm 0.10$ and $A_{\text{Ar}} = 6.56 \pm 0.10$.

7.2. Meteoritic vs. solar abundances

Gross trends. The log of the abundance ratio Sun/meteorites (Table 2) is plotted in Fig. 4, with the elements grouped according to cosmochemical character. Elements that are poorly determined in the Sun are represented by open symbols.

On the whole, the agreement is remarkably good. Past discrepancies have gone away as the solar values—especially thanks to improved transition probabilities and other atomic data—have become more accurate. Even now, the agreement improves if we delete the less accurate values. The mean Sun-meteorite difference for all elements from Table 2 (except the very uncertain Ag, Tb, Ho, Lu, Tl and the grossly dis-

FIG. 4. Solar photospheric and meteoritic abundances (Table 2) agree rather well, except for elements affected by thermonuclear reactions (Li, Be), elements poorly determined in the photosphere (uncertain abundances or errors, or large errors: open symbols), and five problematic cases: W, Fe, Mn, Ge, and Pb (see text). If these elements are omitted, there is no systematic difference between photospheric and C1 chondrite abundances of the five major cosmochemical groups.

cordant In and W) is -0.005 ± 0.10 dex. If we limit ourselves to the 32 elements known to better than 25% (0.10 dex), the mean difference becomes -0.006 ± 0.04 dex. And if we retain only 29 accurately known elements (having a sufficient number of good lines with good transition probabilities) then the data agree within ± 0.036 dex, *i.e.*, $\pm 9\%$. For the last two means, we omitted four well-determined but discordant elements, which are discussed below: Mn, Fe, Ge, and Pb.

Of the elements disagreeing by more than 0.10 to 0.15 dex, Li and Be are depleted by nuclear reactions at the bottom of the convection zone. Twenty others (B, F, P, Cl, Ga, Rb, Ag, In, Sn, Sb, Ce, Tb, Ho, Tm, Yb, Lu, Hf, Pt, Au, Tl) without doubt are poorly determined in the photosphere, due to severe blending of their few available lines, lack of accurate transition probabilities, or both.

However, this leaves five other elements—Ge, Pb, W, Fe, and Mn—that deviate more than expected from the quality of the solar data. In chondrites, elements of similar volatility and cosmochemical properties usually fractionate together, by similar factors (ANDERS, 1971). Thus, if these five elements had been fractionated by *known* cosmochemical processes, other elements of the same cosmochemical group (Fig. 4) should have fractionated by similar factors. We therefore compare mean abundance ratios for each cosmochemical group, omitting the five elements under discussion as well as a few poorly determined elements (Table 6).

All of the deviations are less than 1σ , and except for the <600 K volatiles, all are smaller than in 1982. We must therefore consider the possibility that Ge, Pb, W, Fe, and Mn were fractionated in C1 chondrites by *selective processes* that affected only these elements and no others.

Germanium. GREVESSE and MEYER (1985) have suggested that the discrepancy for this element (and lead, below) could be real, implying chemical enrichment in Cl chondrites. Indeed, Ge is known to be depleted in all other chondrite classes—by factors up to 0.15×—but so are 13 other elements of similar volatility (Fig. 4), apparently reflecting volatile loss during chondrule formation (LARIMER and ANDERS, 1967). Germanium appears to have no unique property that would allow it to go its own ways, unaccompanied by other elements of similar volatility. It is siderophile as well as volatile, but so are, to only slightly lesser degrees, Ag, Cu, Sb, Sn, etc. (WAI and WASSON, 1977).

Lead. At first sight it seems that a suitable enrichment process for Pb is available. The highly volatile (<600 K) elements, to which Pb belongs, show much larger variations in abundance, including-in contrast to the 1300-600 K group—occasional "superenrichments" above C1 chondrite levels, though only in ordinary, not carbonaceous chondrites. Some authors suggest that these volatiles were left behind in the nebula by earlier generations of meteorites and condensed on the last traces of dust after temperatures had dropped (HIGUCHI et al., 1977). Others believe that the volatiles sublimed from the inner parts of the meteorite parent bodies and condensed in the cooler, outer regions (BINZ et al., 1976). In the cases studied, Pb usually is accompanied by other elements of similar volatility (Cd, In, Bi, Tl), but in highly variable proportions (HIGUCHI et al., 1977), with Pb and Bi occasionally predominating (KEAYS et al., 1971; TILTON, 1973).

However, it is very unlikely that such "superenrichment" can explain the high Pb abundance. This process is not specific for Pb, it has never been seen in C-chondrites, and it produces enrichments that are highly variable on a mm or cm scale in contrast to the observed uniformity of the Pb distribution within meteorites (σ for Orgueil is $\pm 8\%$, Table 1) or between meteorites (Orgueil and Ivuna agree within $\pm 17\%$, according to BURNETT *et al.*, 1988). Moreover, 12 C chondrites—including Orgueil—analyzed by spark-source mass spectrometry/isotope dilution (K3) show a perfectly normal abundance trend for volatiles: C1/C2/C3 \simeq 1.00/0.50/0.25-0.30. Apparently none of these meteorites, including Orgueil, has been affected by superenrichment.

Tungsten. The discrepancy is large (+0.43 dex), but the fault may well be with the solar data (HOLWEGER and WERNER, 1982). Although the transition probabilities are very accurate, the two ostensible WI lines are very faint and perturbed, and may not even be due to WI. Alternatively, the ionization energy of W may be too low by 0.43 eV.

Iron and Manganese. The discrepancies for these two ele-

Table 6. Mean Abundance Ratios, Photosphere/Meteorites

Elements	N	log Phot./Cl					
		This work*	AE				
Refractories	23	0.002 ±0.04	-0.029 ±0.15				
Silicate	2	0.000 ±0.00	-0.007 ± 0.013				
Siderophiles	4	-0.003 ±0.01	-0.054 ±0.081				
Volatiles, 1300-600K	5	-0.022 ±0.04	-0.099 ± 0.15				
Volatiles, <600K	3	(0.13 ±0.08)	0.059 ±0.14				

*We omitted Li, Be, Mn, Fe, In, W, and Pb (Sec. 7.2) and—except for the <600 K volatiles--the elements poorly determined in the photosphere (open symbols in Fig. 4). However, the means for each group remain close to zero even when the boorly-determined elements are retained.



ments (+0.16 and -0.14 dex, *i.e.* 40-50%) are puzzling, as both elements appear to be well-determined in the solar photosphere. Although the solar data for Fe have not yet fully converged, and need to be confirmed by measurement of the dominant species, Fe II (Sec. 4.3), most results are higher than the meteoritic value. It would be surprising if this discrepancy were resolved merely by improved atomic data or a better understanding of physical processes in the photosphere (HOLWEGER, 1988).

Perhaps the meteoritic abundance of Fe is at fault. The most obvious way to fractionate Fe—as nickel-iron—is not feasible, as it would simultaneously deplete other siderophiles. There are two lines of evidence against such depletion. (1) The most abundant, well-determined siderophiles—Co and Ni—are not depleted in C1 chondrites relative to the Sun (Table 2, Fig. 4). (2) There is no systematic break in the abundances of odd-A nuclei between A = 99 and 111 (Fig. 5), where cosmochemical character changes rapidly: Ru, Rh (refractory siderophiles), Pd (normal siderophile), Ag (moderately volatile siderophile) and Cd (highly volatile). Even a 10 to 20% increase, let alone a 50% increase, in the abundances of Ru, Rh, Pd relative to Ag, Cd would cause a marked offset in the curve.

However, a more selective fractionation process of Fe alone is available, at least in principle. Below 400 K in a solar gas, the stable chemical form of Fe is magnetite, Fe₃O₄, which would therefore be the principal condensate of Fe in an interstellar cloud that remained at \leq 400 K throughout contraction. On crystal chemical grounds, most other siderophiles should not be enriched in magnetite, and this has actually been confirmed for meteoritic magnetite from C1 chondrites (KRÄHENBUHL *et al.*, 1973; KERRIDGE *et al.*, 1979). Once formed, magnetite could fractionate from other solids on the basis of its ferromagnetism. Moreover, there is evidence for Fe/Si fractionations in meteorites and planets (LARIMER and ANDERS, 1970; MORGAN and ANDERS, 1980).

It is not certain, however, that the pure Fe₃O₄ grains required by this mechanism were available. Although Fe is strongly depleted in the interstellar gas (SALPETER, 1977; PHILLIPS *et al.*, 1982), so are many other elements (Ni, Mg, Si, etc.), and it is not clear that the major part of Fe is contained in discrete magnetite grains rather than in mixed, perhaps amorphous, grains.

For Mn, no similarly selective chemistry is available. It is one of the least volatile of the 15 moderately volatile (1300– 600 K) elements (Fig. 4), and if it is enriched in C1 chondrites, at least some of the other elements should be also. If we take the solar data at face value, then only Ge appears to be so enriched, but since several of these elements are poorly determined in the Sun, it may be possible to devise an *ad hoc* mechanism for enriching Mn, Ge, and a few other elements that is consistent with the data and is cosmochemically tenable.

7.3. C1 chondrites as abundance standards

There are three major reasons why C1 chondrites are used as the abundance standard: 1) they seem to have escaped the fractionation processes (*e.g.* chondrule formation) that affected other meteorite classes (ANDERS, 1971); 2) their volatile element abundances are higher and match solar ratios for Na/Ca, S/Ca, Si/Ca (HOLWEGER, 1977); 3) they give the smoothest nuclidic abundance curve (SUESS, 1947; ANDERS, 1971). Nonetheless, even the best may not be good enough, and one must therefore periodically reexamine C1's for evidence of modification or alteration. We shall summarize and update the discussion in AE.

High-temperature minerals. Orgueil contains $\sim 1\%$ of olivine and orthopyroxene, either indigenous or accreted on the regolith of the parent body. This component has only a negligible effect on the overall composition. Some few Orgueil samples are enriched in refractories, suggesting sporadic (regolith?) contamination with Ca, Al-rich inclusions, but since we have rejected all such anomalous analyses, they do not affect the abundance table.

Presolar matter. Several types of interstellar matter have been identified in C-chondrites: diamond, SiC, organic matter, in abundances of a few to a few hundred ppm. As these exotic components account for $<10^{-2}$ of the total C and Si, they have only a negligible effect on overall abundances. The apatite mentioned by AE turned out to be local rather than exotic.

Hydrothermal alteration. C1 chondrites have been altered by liquid water in their parent bodies, but for most watersoluble elements there has been no net change, suggesting that the alteration took place in a closed system. The principal exceptions are Br and I, where abundances had to be estimated from other meteorite classes (AE). Large variations have been found for C2 chondrites, where in addition Na, K, and Ca have been affected (KI).

Interelement ratios: differences between C1's and other meteorite classes. In contrast to volatiles, refractory elements usually have essentially constant ratios in all chondrite (and even some achondrite) classes. Some authors (e.g. JOCHUM et al., 1986) have assumed that this constancy is exact for all refractory lithophiles except V (K1), so that mean ratios for all C chondrites can be used to refine ratios for C1 chondrites. AE pointed out two apparent exceptions to this constancy: the Zr/Hf and Re/Ir ratios of C2 chondrites were ~14% higher than those of C1's. However, these differences have not stood up in the light of new data or reevaluation of older data. The Zr/Hf ratio of C1's now is within the range for other classes (J3; Sec. 3.4, 3.6). The variations in Re/Ir and Re/Os ratios seem to reflect mainly differences among laboratories or analysts, not meteorite classes.

Thus at present there is no direct evidence for interelement fractionation of refractories, within the accuracy of the data. However, there are some disturbing inconsistencies. KAL-LEMEYN and WASSON (1981) have shown that the (Mg-normalized) abundances of eight refractory lithophiles (Al, Sc, Ca, Lu, Yb, Eu, Sm, La) vary systematically among C-chondrite classes: C1/C2,C3O/C3V = 1.00/1.11/1.33. Since the Mg/Si ratios are constant in the first three classes and only 3% higher in C3V's, one would expect element/Si ratios for all refractory lithophiles to vary from class to class by the above factors. But JOCHUM *et al.* (1986) confirmed this trend only for Sc; for Nb, Y, and Ho they found no enrichment in C2's, slight enrichment in C3V's, and large enrichments in a C3O. KNAB (1981) found essentially constant Zr/Si ratios in all C chondrite classes.

Evidently at least some of these data must be wrong. If all refractory lithophiles are fractionated by the Kallemeyn-Wasson factors, then their Si-normalized abundances should vary correspondingly from class to class. Conversely, if these abundances do not vary for some elements (e.g. Zr), then the interelement ratios of refractory lithophiles cannot be constant. Obviously, these contradictions will have to be resolved by further measurements; until then, it seems advisable not to use data from other meteorite classes if at all possible.

7.4. Smoothness of abundance curve

A major milestone in this field was SUESS' (1947) postulate that the *abundances of nuclides—especially of odd A—are a smooth function of mass number*. The slope of the abundance curve is accurately defined over short segments by isotopic pairs, and on the basis of the above postulate, Suess adjusted elemental abundances as needed to produce a continuous, smooth curve.

Suess' adjustments (by factors of up to 100, *e.g.* Re) were vindicated by subsequent measurements, and in view of this success, his postulate later became one of the main reasons for choosing C1 chondrites as the abundance standard; they gave the smoothest curve.

However, now that most C1 chondrite abundances are known to better than 10%, the smoothness of the abundance curve can be tested to higher accuracy. Deviations may imply either chemical fractionations of C1 chondrites, or failure of Suess' postulate at the $\sim 10\%$ level.

This question was reexamined by AE, who claimed smoothness of $\leq 10\%$ overall, but with irregularities at Pd-Ag-Cd and Nd-Sm-Eu, which they attributed to analytical error or chemical fractionations. BURNETT *et al.* (1988) approached the problem experimentally, analyzing by a single technique the elements Ni through Ru as well as Fe and Pb in six samples of two Cl chondrites. They concluded that the abundance curve is smooth within 4 to 10% between A = 60and A = 101, but not necessarily at higher mass numbers. Figures 5 and 6 show our new data for the mass regions 67– 139 and 135–209. The low-mass region (Fig. 5) seems generally smooth, though the steep slopes and varied topography would mask small irregularities. The most sensitive test is provided by the Pd-Ag-Cd region, where the flat trend and contiguity of two isotopic pairs help bring out small irregularities. The Ag-Cd irregularity noted by AE has smoothed out somewhat due to the revision in the Ag abundance, and would require only a further 7% drop in Ag/Cd ratio for perfect continuity. Such a change exceeds the combined error of the two means $(\pm 1.8\%)$, but since the Ag value is based on only 6 analyses from a single laboratory, a larger systematic error cannot be excluded.

The high-mass region (Fig. 6) is more suitable for a test, as it is flatter, has many more isotopic pairs, and contains mainly refractory elements, which do not readily fractionate from each other in cosmochemical processes. The REE are of particular interest, since they are chemically coherent and analytically very well determined (Sec. 3.6, Table 1).

The most sensitive test is the Nd-Sm-Eu-Gd region, where four isotopic pairs occur in sequence and thus provide four well-defined curve segments. Yet the new data, despite their high accuracy, still fail to give elemental trends agreeing with the isotopic trends: Sm looks too low and Eu looks too high. AE ruled out analytical error, and discussed various chemical or nuclear causes for this irregularity. The chemical explanations, involving some special process for Cl chondrites, now look less plausible, as our new REE abundances, based on DAVIS (1988, in preparation), are quite similar to REE patterns in other meteorite classes. Apparently the smoothness postulate breaks down below the 10 to 20% level, due to nuclear causes. This is not quite surprising, since most nuclides in this mass region are made by both the s- and rprocesses, and even if the distributions of pure s- or r-process nuclei were perfectly smooth, their mixture need not be. The nuclear parameters determining s- and r-process yields are not perfectly smooth functions of mass number, and thus



FIG. 5. Abundances of odd-mass nuclides from Zn to La are a smooth function of mass number, with elemental abundances conforming to the trend set by the isotopic ratios (tie lines). Peaks at Y, Sn, and Te-Cs correspond to closed neutron or proton shells of the nuclides themselves or their neutron-rich, shortlived progenitors in the *r*-process. There is no evidence for fractionation of cosmochemical groups from each other, as there are no distinct offsets at junctions where cosmochemical character changes (Ge-As-Se or Rh-Pd-Ag). The only exception is a 7% offset between Ag and Cd.



FIG. 6. The trend from Ba to Bi is likewise smooth, except that Sm is too low relative to Nd and Eu. As analytical and cosmochemical causes are ruled out (see text), it appears that the abundance curve is smooth only down to the $\sim 20\%$ level.

the smoothness postulate is bound to fail eventually. Nonetheless, this principle, which has successfully guided the field for 40 years, should be retired with proper honors, now that it has reached its limits of applicability.

7.5. Nucleosynthesis: Contributions of s and r-processes

Most heavy nuclides are made by both the s- and r-processes, but the contributions of these processes can be resolved



FIG. 7. (BEER, 1988, priv. commun.). In the s-process, abundance N and neutron capture cross-section σ are inversely proportional to each other and thus a plot of σ N for s-process nuclides is a function mainly of neutron exposure (KÄPPELER *et al.*, 1982). A computer fit to the s-only points (filled symbols) accounts for the region 90–205, but underproduces Pb²⁰⁸ and light nuclides of A < 90. Two additional s-processes, at higher and lower fluences, are needed to account for these two regions. See text for additional discussion.

by first assessing the s-process component (KAPPELER et al., 1982 and references therein). The abundance N_s of a pure s-process nuclide is inversely proportional to the neutron capture cross section σ and directly proportional to the neutron fluence (CLAYTON et al., 1961). Thus a plot of σN_s vs A indicates the fluence as a function of A, permitting reconstruction of s-process conditions in some detail. Progress in this field has required both better abundances and better cross



FIG. 8. (BEER, 1988, priv. commun.). To obtain the *r*-process component of nuclides of mixed parentage, the *s*-process component from Fig. 7 is subtracted from the total abundances. These "*r*-process residuals" (open symbols) form a smooth curve passing through the "*r*-only" nuclides (filled symbols), suggesting that the input data (abundances and cross sections) are reasonably accurate. The peaks at $A \simeq 130$ and $\simeq 195$ represent the enhanced abundances of shortlived, neutron-rich progenitors with closed, 82- or 126-neutron shells.

sections, of which the latter were contributed mainly by the Karlsruhe group (BEER, 1986; KÄPPELER, 1986; BAO and KÄPPELER, 1987).

Figure 7 shows a σN_s plot, based on the abundances from Table 3 (BEER, 1988, priv. commun.). The filled symbols are *s*-only nuclides used for normalization of the curve in the mass range 90 < A < 205. The open symbols represent either "mainly-s" nuclides or *s*-only nuclides that are situated in branchings (*e.g.* Gd¹⁵²), belong to interpolated elements (Kr, Xe, Hg), or were used to normalize the curve below A = 90. A computer fit to the *s*-only points accounts for the mass region 90 < A < 205, but underproduces Pb²⁰⁸ and the nuclides of A < 90. For these, two additional *s*-processes at high and low fluences ("strong" and "weak" processes) are needed (BEER, 1986). The line splits at branch points where neutron capture competes with β -decay; the top and bottom curves represent the individual branches of the synthesis path.

From the σN curve, the s-process components of mixed, r + s, nuclides can be calculated, provided their σ 's are known. Subtraction of these s-components then leaves the r-components. These (open symbols) along with pure r-nuclides (filled symbols) are plotted in Fig. 8. The curve is rather smooth over most of its range, except below A = 70 where the e-process begins to contribute. As subtraction of similarlysized numbers usually inflates errors, the smoothness of the curve suggests that the input data (abundances and cross sections) are reasonably accurate.

A caveat to be noted is that the above conclusions were obtained within the framework of the "classical" s- and rprocesses. The actual processes may be different and more complex (CAMERON, 1988, priv. commun.).

7.6. Comet Halley

Now that the Vega and Giotto missions have obtained data from Comet Halley, it is interesting to compare these data with the new photospheric and meteoritic abundances (Table 7). The most extensive data, from the PUMA-1 mass spectrometer (JESSBERGER *et al.*, 1988), are for the dust com-

Element		Comet Halle	Sun	Solar System	Halley- Sun	
	Geiss (1987)	Delsemme (1988)	Jessberger et al. (1988) [†]			
H C N O O Na Mg Al Si S S K Ca Ti Cr Mn Fe Co Ni	9.41 8.90 7.59 ±0.4 9.17	9.21 8.66 7.88 9.00 6.58 -7.58 7.73 7.53	9.47 ±0.08 8.64 ±0.08 8.05 ±0.12 8.99 ±0.05 6.58 ±0.20 -7.58 6.41 ±0.10 7.85 ±0.04 7.44 ±0.12 4.88 ±0.11 5.13 ±0.18 5.53 ±0.09 5.28 ±0.15 7.30 ±0.07 5.06 ±0.22 6.19 ±0.18	12.00 8.56 8.05 8.93 6.33 7.58 6.47 7.55 7.21 5.12 6.36 4.99 5.67 5.39 7.67 4.92 6.25	12.00 8.56 8.05 8.93 6.31 7.58 6.48 7.55 7.27 5.13 6.34 4.93 5.68 5.53 7.51 4.91 6.25	$\begin{array}{c} -2.53\\ 0.08\\ 0.00\\ 0.25\\ -0.00\\ 0.30\\ 0.23\\ -0.24\\ 0.02\\ 0.19\\ -0.14\\ -0.11\\ -0.37\\ 0.14\\ -0.06\end{array}$

Table 7. Abundances in Comet Halley

^{*}Logarithmic abundances relative to log N_H =12.00 or some other normalization standard, as indicated. Uncertainties in gas/dust ratio introduce an additional error of ± 0.3 , which is not shown here.

here. [†]As the original data were for the dust component only, we have added a gas component by increasing the logarithmic abundances as follows: H (0.58 dex), C (0.15), N (0.85), and O (0.46) (Delsemme, 1988). Jessberger's original values for the dust component only were: H = 8.89 ± 0.08 , C = 8.49 ± 0.08 , N = 7.20 ± 0.12 , Q = 8.53 ± 0.05 .



FIG. 9. Mg-normalized abundances in Comet Halley (JESSBERGER et al., 1988) generally match those in the solar photosphere, and for the gas/dust ratio assumed (DELSEMME, 1988)—show no deficiency for C, N, and O. However, Fe and Si are distinctly anomalous. If these values are correct, then Halley has the lowest Fe/Si ratio of any known solar-system object, except for the Moon, and cannot be pristine interstellar matter.

ponent only, and we have therefore added the HCNO abundances for the gas component, using the gas/dust ratios of DELSEMME (1988). These data are compared with photospheric abundances in Fig. 9.

The depletion of H is very large, and obviously reflects the non-condensation of molecular hydrogen. C, N, and O, on the other hand, agree remarkably well with solar values. Even N no longer shows the depletion reported in earlier studies (GEISS, 1987), but since the N value critically depends on the gas/dust ratio and the composition of the gas, it cannot be regarded as definitive. As N_2 is the most volatile of the principal molecules in comets, it is a potentially valuable indicator of the formation temperature and subsequent thermal history of the comet (YAMAMOTO, 1985).

Of the remaining elements, two disagree by more than 2σ : Si = +0.30 dex, Fe = -0.37 dex. Sulfur is a trifle below 2σ , at +0.23 dex. Relative to meteorites the Si and Fe discrepancies are a little smaller (+0.17 and -0.21 dex), but are still outside the quoted errors. Since these discrepancies have opposite signs, they cannot be eliminated by changing the normalization from Mg to Si or some other element. (Indeed, one argument for the Mg normalization is that it gives a mean residual close to zero, *i.e.* 0.01 \pm 0.20 for the 13 elements from Na to Ni.)

To first order, the Halley data suggest that Fe/Mg and Mg/ Si both decline with distance from the Sun (HOLWEGER, 1988). However, when additional data for meteorites and the Earth are included, the two sequences are quite different, and thus cannot represent a simple monotonic decline with distance. Note in particular the varying positions of EH and EL chondrites. Fe/Mg: Sun > Earth > EH > C \approx H > EL > L > LL > Halley. Mg/Si: Earth > Sun \approx C > H \approx L \approx LL > EL > EH > Halley. At best one of these sequences is a simple function of distance, and perhaps neither of them is. We therefore limit ourselves to the following tentative conclusions.

(1) Relative to Mg, Comet Halley seems to possess its cosmic complement of C, O, and perhaps even N, *i.e.* the "ice" component (GEISS, 1987).

(2) Halley has the lowest known Fe/Si and Mg/Si ratios of any object in the solar system (except for the Moon), farthest from the solar ratios (JESSBERGER *et al.*, 1988). If these data are correct, then Halley cannot be pristine interstellar matter. Apparently at least its dust component was affected by the chemical processes that fractionated these elements elsewhere in the solar system (ANDERS, 1986).

Acknowledgements—We thank Janice Butz for skillful preparation of the manuscript, undaunted by the logistic complexities of this transatlantic collaboration. J. P. Meyer provided countless constructive suggestions that greatly improved the paper. We also thank the following colleagues for formal or informal reviews: L. H. Aller, H. Beer, A. G. W. Cameron, J. R. de Laeter, J. Geiss, D. Hartmann, R. D. Hoffman, U. Krähenbühl, H. Palme, E. C. Stone, and D. S. Woolum. We are indebted to C. J. Waddington for Figures 1 and 2, and H. Beer for Figures 7 and 8. This work was supported in part by NASA Grant NAG 9-52.

Editorial handling: H. Palme

REFERENCES

AHRENS, L. H. (1970) The composition of stony meteorites: (IX) Abundance trends of the refractory elements in chondrites, basaltic achondrites and Apollo 11 fines. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **10**, 1-6.

ANDERS E. (1971) How well do we know "cosmic" abundances? Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 35, 516-522.

ANDERS E. (1986) What can meteorites tell us about comets? In *Comet Nucleus Sample Return*, ESA SP-249, 31-39, Eur. Space Agency, Paris, France.

ANDERS E. (1988) Circumstellar material in meteorites: Noble gases, carbon, and nitrogen. In *Meteorites and the Early Solar System* (eds. J. F. KERRIDGE and M. S. MATTHEWS), pp. 927-955. Univ. Arizona Press.

ANDERS E. and EBIHARA M. (1982) Solar-system abundances of the elements. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 46, 2363–2380.

ANDERSEN T., PETERSEN P., and HAUGE Ö. (1976) The solar hafnjum abundance. Solar Physics 49, 211-215.

BAHCALL J. N. and ULRICH R. K. (1988) Solar models, neutrino experiments, and helioseismology. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 60, 297-372.

BAO Z. Y. and KÄPPELER F. (1987) Neutron capture cross sections for s-process studies. Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables 36, 411-451.

BEER H. (1986) s-Process studies using single and pulsed neutron exposures. In Advances in Nuclear Astrophysics (eds. E. VANGIONI-FLAM, J. AUDOUZE, M. CASSÉ, J. P. CHIÈZE, and J. TRAN THANH VAN), pp. 375–383. Ed. Frontières, Paris.

BEER H. (1988) Chronometers. Contribution to the Intl. Workshop on Quests in Nuclear Astrophysics and Experimental Approaches, Crete.

B7 BEER H. and MACKLIN R. L. (1985) ^{198.199.200,201,202,204}Hg (n, γ) cross sections and the termination of s-process nucleosynthesis. *Phys. Rev.* C32, 738-755.

BEER H. and PENZHORN R. D. (1987) Measurement of the neutron capture cross section of 40 Ar and an *s*-process analysis from 34 S to 42 Ca. *Astron. Astrophys.* **174**, 323–328.

BEER H., KÄPPELER F., REFFO G. and VENTURINI G. (1983) Neutron capture cross-sections of stable xenon isotopes and their application in stellar nucleosynthesis. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 97, 95–119.

B6 BEER H., WALTER G., MACKLIN R. L. and PATCHETT P. J. (1984) Neutron capture cross sections and solar abundances of ^{160,161}Dy, ^{170,171}Yb, ^{175,176}Lu, and ^{176,177}Hf for the s-process analysis of the radionuclide ¹⁷⁶Lu. Phys. Rev. C30, 464–478.

BEER H., WALTER G. and KÄPPELER F. (1988) s-Process studies on tin. Astron. Astrophys. (submitted).

BEGEMANN F. and OTT U. (1983) Comment on "The nature and origin of ureilites" by J. L. Berkley et al., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 47, 975-977. BERGSTRÖM H., BIÉMONT E., LUNDBERG H. and PERSSON A. (1988) Transition probabilities for Gd II and a new determination of the solar abundance of gadolinium. *Astron. Astrophys.* **192**, 335–337.

BIÉMONT E. and YOUSSEF N. H. (1984) The solar abundance of erbium. Astron. Astrophys. 140, 177-178.

BIÉMONT E., GREVESSE, N., HANNAFORD P. and LOWE R. M. (1981) Oscillator strengths for Zr I and Zr II and a new determination of the solar abundance of Zr. *Astrophys. J.* 248, 867-873.

BIÉMONT E., GREVESSE N., FAIRES L. M., MARSDEN G., LAWLER J. E. and WHALING W. (1988) Lifetimes and transition probabilities in V II and the solar abundance of vanadium. *As*tron. Astrophys. (in press).

BINZ C. M., IKRAMUDDIN M., REY P. and LIPSCHUTZ M. E. (1976) Trace elements in primitive meteorites: VI. Abundance patterns of thirteen trace elements and interelement relationships in unequilibrated ordinary chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim.* Acta **40**, 59–71.

BLACK D. C. (1972) On the origins of trapped helium, neon and argon isotopic variations in meteorites: I. Gas-rich meteorites, lunar soil and breccia. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 36, 347-375.

BLACKWELL D. E., BOOTH A. J. and PETFORD A. D. (1984) Is there an abundance anomaly for the 2.2 eV Fe I lines in the solar spectrum? *Astron. Astrophys.* **132**, 236–239.

BLACKWELL D. E., BOOTH A. J., HADDOCK D. J., PETFORD A. D. and LEGGETT S. K. (1986) Measurement of the oscillator strengths of very weak 1 eV Fe I lines. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* 220, 549-553.

BLACKWELL D. E., BOOTH A. J., MENON S. L. R. and PET-FORD A. D. (1987) An LTE analysis of the solar photospheric Ti I and Cr I spectra: evidence for non-LTE in excitation. *Astron. Astrophys.* **180**, 229–240.

BOCHSLER P. (1987) Solar wind ion composition, *Physica* Scripta T18, 55-60.

BOESGAARD A. M. and STEIGMAN G. (1985) Big bang nucleosynthesis: Theories and observations. *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* 23, 319–378.

BOOTH A. J., BLACKWELL D. E. and SHALLIS M. J. (1984) Analysis of Mn I lines in the solar spectrum using accurate oscillator strengths. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* 209, 77–81.

BRENEMAN H. H. and STONE E. C. (1985) Solar coronal and photospheric abundances from solar energetic particles. *Astrophys. J.* 299, L57-61.

BURNETT D. S., WOOLUM D. S., BENJAMIN T. M., ROGERS P. S. Z., DUFFY C. J. and MAGGIORE C. (1988) A test of carbonaceous chondrite elemental abundance smoothness. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* (in press).

CAHEN S. (1986) About the standard solar model. In Advances in Nuclear Astrophysics (eds. E. VANGIONI-FLAM, J. AUDOUZE, M. CASSÉ, J. P. CHIÈZE, and J. TRAN THANH VAN), pp. 97– 104. Ed. Frontières, Paris, France.

CAMERON A. G. W. (1973) Abundances of the elements in the solar system. Space Sci. Rev. 15, 121-146.

CAMERON A. G. W. (1982) Elemental and nuclidic abundances in the solar system. In *Essays in Nuclear Astrophysics* (eds. C. A. BARNES, D. D. CLAYTON, D. N. SCHRAMM), pp. 23-43. Cambridge Univ. Press.

- C7 CASE D. R., LAUL J. C., PELLY I. Z., WECHTER M. A., SCHMIDT-BLEEK F. and LIPSCHUTZ M. E. (1973) Abundance patterns of thirteen trace elements in primitive carbonaceous and unequilibrated ordinary chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 37, 19-33.
- C8 CHOU C.-L., BAEDECKER P. A. and WASSON J. T. (1976) Allende inclusions: Volatile-element distribution and evidence for incomplete volatilization of presolar solids. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 40, 85-94.

CLAYTON D. D., FOWLER W. A., HULL T. E. and ZIMMER-MAN B. A. (1961) Neutron capture chains in heavy element synthesis. *Annals Phys.* **12**, 331-408.

CLAYTON R. N. and THIEMENS M. H. (1980) Lunar nitrogen: Evidence for secular change in the solar wind. In *Proc. Conf.* Ancient Sun (eds. R. O. PEPIN, J. A. EDDY and R. B. MERRILL), pp. 463-473. Lunar & Planetary Institute, Houston.

CONRATH B. J., GAUTIER D., HANEL R. A. and HONSTEIN J. S. (1984) The helium abundance of Saturn from Voyager measurements. *Astrophys. J.* 282, 807–815.

CONRATH B., GAUTIER D., HANEL R., LINDAL G. and MAR-TEN A. (1987) The helium abundance of Uranus from Voyager measurements. J. Geophys. Res. 92, 15003-15010.

COOK W. R., STONE E. C., VOGT R. E., TRAINOR J. H. and WEBBER W. R. (1979) Elemental composition of solar energetic particles in 1977 and 1978. *Proc. 16th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf.* **12**, 265-270.

COOK W. R., STONE E. C. and VOGT R. E. (1984) Elemental composition of solar energetic particles. *Astrophys. J.* 279, 827-838.

COPLAN M. A., OGILVIE K. W., BOCHSLER P. and GEISS J. (1984) Interpretation of He³ abundance variation in the solar wind. *Solar Phys.* **93**, **41**5–434.

- C9 CROCKET J. H., KEAYS R. R. and HSIEH S. (1967) Precious metal abundances in some carbonaceous and enstatite chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 31, 1615–1623.
- C11 CURTIS D. B. and GLADNEY E. S. (1985) Boron cosmochemistry. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 75, 311–320.
- C6 CURTIS D., GLADNEY E. and JURNEY E. (1980) A revision of the meteorite based cosmic abundance of boron. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 44, 1945-1953.

DELAETER J. R. and HOSIE D. J. (1988) The isotopic composition of antimony. *Int. J. Mass Spec. Ion Proc.* 83, 311-318. DELSEMME A. H. (1988) The chemistry of comets. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* A325, 509-523.

DIETRICH W. F. and SIMPSON J. A. (1979) The isotopic and elemental abundances of neon nuclei accelerated in solar flares. *Astrophys. J.* 231, L91–L95.

- D5 DREIBUS G. and WÄNKE H. (1980) The bulk composition of the eucrite parent asteroid and its bearing on planetary evolution. Z. Naturforsch. 35a, 204-216.
- D2 DREIBUS G., KRUSE H., SPETTEL B. and WÄNKE H. (1977) The bulk composition of the moon and the eucrite parent body. *Proc. Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. 8th*, 211–237.

E3 EBIHARA M., WOLF R. and ANDERS E. (1982) Are C1 chondrites chemically fractionated? A trace element study. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46, 1849–1861.

- E6 EHMANN W. D. (1965) On some tantalum abundances in meteorites and tektites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 29, 43-48.
 FARMER C. B., RAPER O. F. and O'CALLAGHAN F. G. (1987)
 Final report on the first flight of the ATMOS instrument during the Spacelab 3 mission, April 29 through May 6, 1985, 1-45.
 J.P.L. Publ. 87-32.
- G7 GANAPATHY R., PAPIA G. M. and GROSSMAN L. (1976) The abundances of zirconium and hafnium in the solar system. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 29, 302–308.

GARTON W. R. S., REEVES E. M., TOMKINS F. S. and ERCOLI B. (1973) Rydberg series and autoionization resonances in the YI absorption spectrum. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* A333, 17-24. GAUTIER D. (1983) Helium and deuterium in the outer solar system. In *Primordial Helium* (eds. P. A. SHAVER, D. KUNTH, and K. KJÄR), pp. 139-161. ESO, Garching, F.R.G.

G10 GEISS J. (1973) Solar wind composition and implications about the history of the solar system. Proc. 13th Intl. Cosmic Ray Conf. 5, 3375-3398.

GEISS J. (1982) Processes affecting abundances in the solar wind. Space Sci. Rev. 33, 201-217.

GEISS J. (1985) Diagnostics of corona by *in-situ* composition measurements at 1 AU. Proc. ESA Workshop on Future Missions in Solar, Heliospheric and Space Plasma Physics, 37–50. *ESA* SP-235.

GEISS J. (1987) Composition measurements and the history of cometary matter. Astron. Astrophys. 187, 859-866.

GEISS J. and BOCHSLER P. (1981) On the abundances of rare ions in the solar wind. In *Solar Wind Four* (ed. H. ROSENBAUER), pp. 403-413. Max-Planck Inst. Aeronomie, Lindau, F.R.G.

GEISS J. and BOCHSLER P. (1982) Nitrogen isotopes in the solar system. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46, 529-548.

GEISS J. and BOCHSLER P. (1985) Ion composition in the solar wind in relation to solar abundances. In *Rapports isotopiques dans le système solaire*, (ed. by CNES), pp. 213–218. Cepadues Ed., Paris.

GÖBEL R., OTT U. and BEGEMANN F. (1978) On trapped noble gases in ureilites. J. Geophys. Res. 83, 855-867.

- G14 GOODING J. L., KEIL K., FUKUOKA T. and SCHMITT R. (1980) Elemental abundances in chondrules from unequilibrated chondrites: Evidence for chondrule origin by melting of preexisting materials. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 50, 171-180.
- G2 GREENLAND L. and LOVERING J. F. (1965) Minor and trace element abundances in chondritic meteorites. *Geochim. Cos*mochim. Acta 29, 821–858.

GREVESSE N. (1984a) Abundances of the elements in the Sun. In *Frontiers of Astronomy and Astrophysics* (ed. R. PALLAVICINI), pp. 71-82. Ital. Astron. Soc., Florence, Italy.

GREVESSE N. (1984b) Accurate atomic data and solar photospheric spectroscopy. *Physica Scripta* **T8**, 49–58.

GREVESSE N. and MEYER J.-P. (1985) Germanium and lead: Significant differences between meteoritic and photospheric abundances. *Proc. 19th Cosmic Ray Conf.* **3**, 5–8, LaJolla, CA.

GREVESSE N., SAUVAL A. J., FARMER C. B. and NORTON R. H. (1987) Diatomic molecules in the solar infrared spectrum from ATMOS-SL3 high resolution observations. In *Observational Astrophysics with High Precision Data*, 27th Liège Astrophysical Colloquium, pp. 111–117. Inst. d'Astrophysique, Liège, Belgium.

GREVESSE N., BLACKWELL D. E., PETFORD A. D. and BOOTH A. J. (1988) Revision of the absolute scale of the Oxford Ti I oscillator strengths and the solar titanium abundance. *Astron. Astrophys.* (in press).

G13 GROSSMAN L. and GANAPATHY R. (1975) Volatile elements in Allende inclusions. Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf. 6th, 1729-1736. HACKETT P. A., HUMPHRIES M. R., MITCHELL S. A. and RAYNER D. M. (1986) The first ionization potential of zirconium atoms determined by two laser field-ionization spectroscopy of high-lying Rydberg series. J. Chem. Phys. 85, 3194-3197.

H1 HAMAGUCHI H., ONUMA N., HIRAO Y., YOKOYAMA H., BANDO S. and FURUKAWA M. (1969) The abundances of arsenic, tin and antimony in chondritic meteorites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 33, 507–518.

HANNAFORD P., LOWE R. M., GREVESSE N., BIÉMONT E. and WHALING W. (1982) Oscillator strengths for Y I and Y II and the solar abundance of Y. Astrophys. J. 261, 736-746.

HANNAFORD P., LOWE R. M., BIÉMONT E. and GREVESSE N. (1985) Radiative lifetimes for Nb II and the problem of the solar abundance of niobium. *Astron. Astrophys.* 143, 447–450.

HEASLEY J. and MILKEY R. (1978) Structure and spectrum of quiescent prominences: III. Applications of theoretical models in helium abundance determinations. *Astrophys. J.* 221, 677-688.

HIGUCHI H., GANAPATHY R., MORGAN J. W. and ANDERS E. (1977) "Mysterite": A late condensate from the solar nebula. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **41**, 843–852.

HIRAYAMA T. (1978) Prominence spectra and their interpretation. In *Physics of Solar Prominences* (eds. E. JENSEN, P. MALTBY, and F. Q. ORRALL), pp. 4-32. IAU Colloquium No. 44, Inst. Theoret. Astrophys., Blindern, Norway.

HOLDEN N. E. (1985a) Long-lived light mass element halflives (A < 125). Report BNL-NCS-36959.

HOLDEN N. E. (1985b) Long-lived heavy mass element halflives (A > 125). Report BNL-NCS-36960.

HOLDEN N. E. (1985c) Total and spontaneous fission halflives for uranium and plutonium nuclides. Report BNL-NCS-36961.

HOLDEN N. E., MARTIN R. L. and BARNES I. L. (1984) Isotopic compositions of the elements 1983. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 56, 675–694.

HOLWEGER H. (1977) The solar Na/Ca and S/Ca ratios: A close comparison with carbonaceous chondrites. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **34**, 152–154.

HOLWEGER H. (1988) Accurate spectroscopy of intermediate and late spectral types. In *The Impact of Very High S/N Spec*- troscopy on Stellar Physics (eds. G. CAYREL and M. SPITE), pp. 411-420. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland.

- HOLWEGER H. and WERNER K. (1982) The solar abundance of tungsten. Solar Phys. 81, 3-8.
- J2 JEFFERY P. M. and ANDERS E. (1970) Primordial noble gases in separated meteoritic minerals; I. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 34, 1175-1198.

JESSBERGER E. K., CHRISTOFORIDIS A. and KISSEL J. (1988) Aspects of the major element composition of Halley's dust. *Nature* 332, 691–695.

- J3 JOCHUM K. P., SEUFERT H. M., SPETTEL B. and PALME H. (1986) The solar-system abundances of Nb, Ta, and Y, and the relative abundances of refractory lithophile elements in differentiated planetary bodies. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 50, 1173– 1183.
- K1 KALLEMEYN G. W. and WASSON J. T. (1981) The compositional classification of chondrites—I. The carbonaceous chondrite groups. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 45, 1217–1230.

KÄPPELER F. (1986) Status of the classical s-process. In Advances in Nuclear Astrophysics (eds. E. VANGIONI-FLAM, J. AU-DOUZE, M. CASSÉ, J. P. CHIÈZE, and J. TRAN THANH VAN), pp. 355-373. Ed. Frontières, Paris.

KÄPPELER F., BEER H., WISSHAK K., CLAYTON D. D., MACKLIN R. L. and WARD R. A. (1982) s-Process studies in the light of new experimental cross sections: Distribution of neutron fluences and r-process residuals. Astrophys. J. 257, 821-846.

KEAYS R. R., GANAPATHY R. and ANDERS E. (1971) Chemical fractionations in meteorites—IV. Abundances of fourteen trace elements in L-chondrites; implications for cosmothermometry. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 35, 337-363.

KERRIDGE J. F. (1980) Secular variations in composition of the solar wind: Evidence and causes. In *Proc. Conf. Ancient Sun* (eds. R. PEPIN, J. A. EDDY, and R. B. MERRILL), pp. 474–489. Lunar & Planetary Institute, Houston.

KERRIDGE J. F., MACKAY A. L. and BOYNTON W. V. (1979) Magnetite in CI carbonaceous meteorites: Origin by aqueous activity on a planetesimal surface. *Science* **205**, 395–397.

- K3 KNAB H.-J. (1981) The distribution of trace elements in carbonaceous chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 45, 1563– 1572.
- K4 KRÄHENBÜHL U., MORGAN J. W., GANAPATHY R. and AN-DERS E. (1973) Abundance of 17 trace elements in carbonaceous chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 37, 1353-1370.
- K5 KRANKOWSKY D. and MULLER O. (1964) Isotopenhäufigkeit und Konzentration des Lithiums in Steinmeteoriten. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 28, 1625-1630.

LAMBERT D. L. (1978) The abundances of the elements in the solar photosphere: VIII. Revised abundances of carbon, nitrogen and oxygen. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **182**, 249–272.

LARIMER J. W. and ANDERS E. (1967) Chemical fractionations in meteorites—II. Abundance patterns and their interpretation. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **31**, 1239–1270.

LARIMER J. W. and ANDERS E. (1970) Chemical fractionations in meteorites—III. Major element fractionations in chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 34, 367-388.

L6 LOSS R. D., ROSMAN K. J. R. and DELAETER J. R. (1984) Mass spectrometric isotope dilution analyses of palladium, silver, cadmium and tellurium in carbonaceous chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 48, 1677-1681.

MACHLAN L. A., GRAMLICH J. W., POWELL L. J. and LAM-BERT G. M. (1986) Absolute isotopic abundance ratio and atomic weight of a reference sample of gallium. J. Res. NBS 91, 323– 331.

MACKLIN R. L., WINTERS R. and SCHMIDT D. M. (1989) Resonance neutron capture by argon-40. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. (in press).

MARTI K., WILKENING L. and SUESS H. E. (1972) Solar rare gases and the abundances of the elements. *Astrophys. J.* 173, 445–450.

M2 MASON B. (1963) The carbonaceous chondrites. Space Sci. Rev. 1, 621–646.

MAZOR E., HEYMANN D. and ANDERS E. (1970) Noble gases

in carbonaceous chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 34, 781-824.

MCGUIRE R. E., VON ROSENVINGE T. T. and MCDONALD F. B. (1986) The composition of solar energetic particles. Astrophys. J. 301, 938-961.

MEWALDT R. A. and STONE E. C. (1987) Isotope abundances of solar coronal material derived from solar energetic particle measurements. *Proc. 20th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (Moscow)* 3, 255-258.

MEWALDT R. A., SPALDING J. D. and STONE E. C. (1984) A high-resolution study of the isotopes of solar flare nuclei. *Astrophys. J.* 280, 892–901.

MEYER J.-P. (1979) The significance of the carbonaceous chondrites abundances. In Les éléments et leurs isotopes dans l'univers, pp. 153-188. Institut d'Astrophysique, Liège, Belgium.

MEYER J.-P. (1985a) The baseline composition of solar energetic particles. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 57, 151-171.

MEYER J.-P. (1985b) Solar-stellar outer atmospheres and energetic particles, and galactic cosmic rays. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 57, 173-204.

MEYER J.-P. (1988) Everything you always wanted to ask about local galactic abundances, but were afraid to know. In Origin and Distribution of the Elements (ed. G. J. MATHEWS), pp. 337-348. World Scientific, Singapore.

MEYER J.-P. (1989) The abundances of matter in the interstellar medium. In *Cosmic Abundances of Matter* (ed. C. J. WADDINGTON). American Institute of Physics (in press).

MILFORD P. N., O'MARA B. J. and ROSS J. E. (1988) Measurement of relative intensities of FeI lines of astrophysical interest. J. Quant. Spectr. Rad. Transf. (in press).

MILKEY R. M. (1978) Models of thermodynamic properties of prominences. In *Physics of Solar Prominences* (eds. E. JENSEN, P. MALTBY, R. Q. ORRALL), pp. 349-356. IAU Colloquium No. 44, Inst. Theoret. Astrophys., Blindern, Norway.

MORGAN J. W. and ANDERS E. (1980) Chemical composition of the Earth, Venus, and Mercury. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 77, 6973-6977.

MURPHY R. J., RAMATY R., FORREST D. J. and KOZLOVSKY B. (1985) Abundances from solar-flare gamma-line spectroscopy. *Proc. 19th Cosmic Ray Conf.* **4**, 249–252. LaJolla, CA.

M14 MURTY S. V. S., SHUKLA P. N. and GOEL P. S. (1983) Lithium in stone meteorites and stony irons. *Meteoritics* 18, 123-136.

N2 NAKAMURA N. (1974) Determination of REE, Ba, Fe, Mg, Na and K in carbonaceous and ordinary chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 38, 757–775.

NOCI G., SPADARO D., ZAPPALA R. A. and ZUCCARELLO F. (1988) Elemental abundances in different solar regions from EUV observations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **198**, 311-321.

OWEN T., LUTZ B. L. and DEBERGH C. (1986) Deuterium in the outer solar system: Evidence for two distinct reservoirs. *Nature* 320, 244-246.

PAGEL B. E. J. (1987) Primordial abundances of the light elements. In *ESO-CERN School on Astro-Particle Physics* (eds. A. DE RUJULA, D. NANOPOULOS, P. A. SHAVER). World Scientific, Singapore.

PAGEL B. E. J. (1988) Primordial helium and the half-life of the neutron. Gemini 18, 1-4.

P3 PALME H. and RAMMENSEE W. (1981) The cosmic abundance of molybdenum. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 55, 356–362.

PAULS U. (1988) Transition probabilities and branching ratios of astrophysical interest: Ionized iron and neutral carbon. Ph.D. thesis, 1–62. E.T.H., Zürich, Switzerland.

PEIMBERT M. (1986) On the helium and heavy element enrichment of the interstellar medium. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pacific* **98**, 1057-1060.

PHILLIPS A. P., GONDHALCKAR P. M. and PETTINI M. (1982) A study of element depletion in interstellar gas. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **200**, 687–703.

- Q1 QUANDT U. and HERR W. (1974) Beryllium abundance of meteorites determined by "non-destructive" photon activation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 24, 53-58.
- R8 RAMMENSEE W. and PALME H. (1982) Metal-silicate extrac-

tion technique for the analysis of geological and meteoritical samples. J. Radioanalyt. Chem. 71, 401-418.

REAMES D. V., RAMATY R. and VON ROSENVINGE T. T. (1988) Solar neon abundances from gamma-ray spectroscopy and ³He-rich particle events. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* (in press).

REYNOLDS J. H., FRICK U., NEIL J. M. and PHINNEY D. L. (1978) Rare-gas-rich separates from carbonaceous chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **42**, 1775-1797.

ROSMAN K. J. R., LOSS R. D. and DELAETER J. R. (1984) The isotopic composition of tin. Intl. J. Mass Spec. Ion Proc. 56, 281-291.

SALPETER E. E. (1977) Formation and destruction of dust grains. Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 15, 267-293.

SAUVAL A. J., GREVESSE N., BRAULT J. W., STOKES G. M. and ZANDER R. (1984) The pure rotation spectrum of OH and the solar oxygen abundance. *Astrophys. J.* 282, 330-338.

SCHMID J., BOCHSLER P. and GEISS J. (1988) Abundance of iron ions in the solar wind. Astrophys. J. 329, 956-966.

SHAVER P. A., KUNTH D. and KJÄR K. (1983) Primordial Helium. ESO, Garching, F.R.G., 418p.

S4 SHIMA M. (1979) The abundances of titanium, zirconium and hafnium in stony meteorites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 43, 353-362.

SI SILL C. W. and WILLIS C. P. (1962) The beryllium content of some meteorites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 26, 1209–1214. SMITH C. L. and DELAETER J. R. (1986) The isotopic composition of tellurium in the Abee meteorite. *Meteoritics* 21, 133– 139.

STEENBOCK W. (1985) Statistical equilibrium of Fe I/Fe II in cool stars. In *Cool Stars with Excesses of Heavy Elements* (eds. M. JASCHEK and P. C. KENNAN), pp. 231–235. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland.

STEENBOCK W. and HOLWEGER H. (1984) Statistical equilibrium of lithium in cool stars of different metallicity. *Astron. Astrophys.* **130**, 319-323.

STEIGER R. H. and JÄGER E. (1977) Subcommission on geochronology: Convention on the use of decay constants in geoand cosmochronology. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **36**, 359–362.

SUESS H. E. (1947) Uber kosmische Kernhäufigkeiten. I. Einige Häufigkeitsregeln und ihre Anwendung bei der Abschätzung der Häufigkeitswerte für die mittelschweren und schweren Elemente. Z. Naturforsch. 2a, 311-321.

 TAKAHASHI H., JANSSENS M.-J., MORGAN J. W. and ANDERS
 E. (1978) Further studies of trace elements in C3 chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 42, 97-106.

TANG M. and ANDERS E. (1988) Isotopic anomalies of Ne, Xe, and C in meteorites: III. Local and exotic noble gas components and their interrelations. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 52, 1235-1244.

TATSUMOTO M., UNRUH D. M. and DESBOROUGH G. A. (1976) U-Th-Pb and Rb-Sr systematics of Allende and U-Th-Pb systematics of Orgueil. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 40, 617-634.

TILTON G. R. (1973) Isotopic lead ages of chondritic meteorites. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 19, 321-329. TURCK-CHIÈZE S., CAHEN S., CASSÉ M. and DOOM C. (1988) Revisiting the standard solar model. *Astrophys. J.* (in press).

VAUCLAIR S. and MEYER J.-P. (1985) Diffusion in the chromosphere, and the composition of the solar, corona and energetic particles. *Proc. 19th Cosmic Ray Conf.* 4, 233-236, LaJolla, CA.

VECK N. J. and PARKINSON J. H. (1981) Solar abundances from X-ray flare observations. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* 197, 41-55.

V2 VILCSEK E. and LOHMANN G. (1978) Bestimmung von Beryllium in extraterrestrischer Materie mit Hilfe der flammenlosen Atomabsorptionsspektroskopie. Z. Anal. Chem. 293, 410-411. WAI C. M. and WASSON J. T. (1977) Nebular condensation of moderately volatile elements and their abundances in ordinary chondrites. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 36, 1-13.

WALTER G., BEER H., KÄPPELER F., REFFO G. and FABBRI F. (1986) The s-process branching at ⁷⁹Se. Astron. Astrophys. **167**, 186–199.

W2 WÄNKE H., BADDENHAUSEN H., PALME H. and SPETTEL B. (1974) On the chemistry of the Allende inclusions and their origin as high temperature condensates. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 23, 1–7.

WARD L., VOGEL O., ARNESEN A., HALLIN P. and WANN-STRÖM A. (1985) Accurate experimental lifetimes of excited levels in Nd II. *Physica Scripta* **31**, 161–165.

WHALING W. (1985) Absolute transition probabilities in Fe II. Technical Report 85A, Calif. Inst. Technol., Pasadena, CA.

WHALING W., HANNAFORD P., LOWE R. M., BIÉMONT E. and GREVESSE N. (1985) Absolute transition probabilities in vanadium I and the solar abundance of vanadium. *Astron. Astrophys.* **153**, 109-115.

WIDING K. G., FELDMAN U. and BHATIA A. K. (1986) The extreme ultraviolet spectrum (300–630 Å) of an erupting prominence observed from Skylab. *Astrophys. J.* **308**, 982–992.

WIELER R., BAUR H. and SIGNER P. (1986) Noble gases from solar energetic particles revealed by closed system stepwise etching of lunar soil minerals. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 50, 1997– 2017.

WIIK H. B. (1969) On regular discontinuities in the composition of meteorites. *Commentationes Physico-Mathematicae* 34, 135-145.

WOLF S. C. and HEASLEY J. P. (1985) The determination of the helium abundance in main sequence B stars. Astrophys. J. **292**, 589-600.

WOOSLEY S. E. and HOFFMAN R. D. (1986) 16th advanced course. In Nucleosynthesis and Chemical Evolution (eds. B. HAUCK, A. MAEDER, and G. MEYNET). Saas-Fee.

WOOSLEY S. E. and HOFFMAN R. D. (1989) Parametrized studies of nucleosynthesis. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* (in press).

YAMAMOTO T. (1985) Formation environment of cometary nuclei in the primordial solar nebula. Astron. Astrophys. 142, 31-36.

YOUSSEF N. H. (1986) A search for gold in the Sun. Astron. Astrophys. 164, 395-396.

ZADNIK M. G., SPECHT S. and BEGEMANN F. (1989) Revised isotopic composition of terrestrial mercury. Int. J. Mass Spec. Ion Proc. (in press).