

06:26 PM 5/4/05 -0700, [Krivit] wrote:

Dave,

I haven't the foggiest...what is this about? What do you find interesting about it?

Steve

At 08:30 AM 5/6/2005, [Nagel] wrote:

Steve,

I believe that this paper describes what may prove to be a viable mechanism for cold fusion. Hence, I have sent it to some theoretical physicists for a scrub.

Dave

At 06:26 PM 5/4/05 -0700, you wrote:

Dave,

I haven't the foggiest...what is this about? What do you find interesting about it?

At 01:36 PM 6/12/05 -0700, [Nagel] wrote:

Dave,

I can't make heads or tails of it. I don't have the background. But I do understand Huizenga's three miracles and would be interested to know if it answers them. If I can have a jump-start to know which theoretical physicists to contact, I may be able to communicate something about this in New Energy Times #11, but I go to press in 10 days. Otherwise, no worries. It's not a critical story -- yet.

Thanks,

Steve

At 08:30 AM 5/6/2005, you wrote:
Steve,

I believe that this paper describes what may prove to be a viable mechanism for cold fusion. Hence, I have sent it to some theoretical physicists for a scrub.

Dave

At 06:26 PM 5/4/05 -0700, you wrote:
Dave,

I haven't the foggiest...what is this about? What do you find interesting about it?

Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2005 09:12:07 -0400
To: Steve Krivit
From: "David J. Nagel" <nagel@gwu.edu>
Subject: Re: Widom-Larsen Paper

Hi Steve,

Nice to hear from you. The critiques of the W-L paper are very diverse. Indeed, its evaluation is a work in progress.

Here is a set of graphics I made up in an attempt to make clearer what is in the paper. They are for your use only for now.

Dave

Attachment Converted: "Widom-Larsen Graphics.ppt"