## **PURDUE**

## SCHOOL OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING

400 Central Drive W. LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2017

Dr. Sally Mason, Provost Purdue University Hovde Hall of Administration 610 Purdue Mall West Lafayette, IN 47907-2040

February 15, 2007

Dear Provost Mason,

On February 7, 2007, the Administration announced a decision that cleared Professor Rusi Taleyarkhan's bubble fusion claims of misconduct allegations.

We as senior professors of the School of Nuclear Engineering are deeply perplexed about the process used to arrive at this decision.

In this we are not alone. As evidenced by numerous articles appearing in the scientific and popular press, the scientific community adds now to the growing concerns regarding the veracity of bubble fusion claims significant questions related to process. For background purposes we attach selective articles.

As the chief academic officer of Purdue University we turn to you and respectfully request clarifications regarding the aforementioned decision. Specifically, we seek answers to the following questions:

- a) How many College and University committees were involved, when were the said committees charged, what was their written charge, and when did they deliver their findings to the Administration?
- b) What was the make up of the committees, what are the fields of specialization of the committee members and what criteria were used to select them?
- c) During the period of time the committees were in operation, how many times did they meet and for how long?
- d) What was the basis of the committees' inquiry? What allegations were considered? With what material were the committees provided? Furthermore, what persons did they interview? We are not aware of the committees interviewing any prominent scientist in the area or anyone of the individuals from within and outside Purdue that came forward with allegations (with the exception of Professor Tsoukalas).

We appreciate the need for confidentiality in any proceeding of this nature. You would agree with us, however, that confidentiality is not secrecy (where the process can be fuzzy, unknowingly modified, uncertain, or, simply not transparent) and that secrecy ultimately undermines the legitimacy of any confidential proceeding.

We are grateful for your attention and your prompt response to our questions.

Sincerely,

Chan K. Choi, PhD

Professor of Nuclear Engineering

Franklyn M. Clikeman, PhD

Franklyn In California

Professor Emeritus of Nuclear Engineering, Former Acting Head of the School of Nuclear Engineering

Takashi Kilili

Takashi Hibiki, PhD

Professor of Nuclear Engineering

Manus So

Mamoru Ishii, PhD

Walter Zinn Distinguished Professor of Nuclear Engineering

Kue O. Of

Karl O. Ott, PhD Professor Emeritus of Nuclear Engineering

Liff To Lefteri H. Tsoukalas, PhD

Professor of Nuclear Engineering

Former Head of the School of Nuclear Engineering

Cc: Dr. Martin Jischke, President

Cc: Dr. Leah Jamieson, John A. Edwardson Dean of Engineering

Cc: University Senate Cc: Board of Trustees

## Attachments:

- 1. "Disputed Inquiry Clears Bubble Fusion Engineer," *Nature*, February 13, 2007.
- 2. "Upper Bound for Neutron Emission from Sonoluminescing Bubbles in Deuterated Acetone," *Phys. Rev. Letters*, February 9, 2007.
- 3. Comments on "Taleyarkhan et al. Reply:" Preprint at <a href="http://arXiv.org/physics/072009">http://arXiv.org/physics/072009</a> February 1, 2007.
- 4. "Purdue U. Panel Exonerates Scientist Accused of Misconduct in 'Tabletop' Fusion Research," *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, February 8, 2007.
- 5. "Second Inquiry Exonerates Taleyarkhan," ScienceNOW, February 7, 2007.
- 6. "Researcher Cleared of Misconduct, but Case is Still Murky," *The New York Times*, February 13, 2007.
- 7. "Tabletop Fusion Claims Ignite a Furor at Purdue," *Chicago Tribune*, February 9, 2007.