Page 1 of 3

Morlan, Joyce J.

From:

Dunn, Peter E. [pedunn@purdue.edu]

Sent:

Thursday, April 26, 2007 11:01 AM

To:

chipr@pharmacy.purdue.edu; wpk@stuartlaw.com

Subject: Fw: (No subject header)

Chip and Bill,

Please see the response below from Seth Putterman.

Chip, perhaps you should respond to Dr. Putterman with a copy to Roberto Peccei?

Peter Peter E. Dunn, Ph.D. Associate Vice President for Research Purdue University HOVD Hall 610 Purdue Mall West Lafayette, IN 47907-2040

(765) 494-6840 Fax: (765) 496-2589 pedunn@purdue.edu

--- Original Message -----

From: puherman@ritva.physics.ucla.edu <puherman@ritva.physics.ucla.edu>

To: Dunn, Peter E.

Sent: Thu Apr 26 10:22:01 2007 Subject: RE: (No subject header)

April 26, 2007

Dear Dr. Dunn:

This is to acknowledge receipt of you letter dated April 23, 2007 which was sent in reply to my email of April 22. Your letter of April 23 failed to answer or even address key questions/issues raised in my letter of April 22. I have information that is important to an inquiry or investigation of science research misconduct at Purdue and am willing to work with a duly constituted committee to assist them in reaching a decision.

Brian Naranjo and Euenie Reich also have key information to provide to such committee.

Your refusal to answer the questions and address the issues raised in my letter of April 22, leads me to the opinion that you are not dealing with this matter in good faith. To whom do I make a complaint when the Purdue administrative officer in charge of integrity, is acting to hinder an inquiry/investigation into science research misconduct at Purdue.

Sincerely

Seth Putterman

```
---- Original Message Follows -----
  From: "Dunn, Peter E." <pedunn@purdue.edu>
  To: <puherman@ritva.physics.ucla.edu>
  Subject: RE: (No subject header)
  Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2007 17:24:00 -0400
  >Professor Putterman,
  >This is to acknowledge your response to my letter dated 10
  >April 2007. A response addressing issues raised in your
  >message below has been sent via FedEx this afternoon. You
  >should receive it tomorrow (Tuesday, April 24).
  >Sincerely,
 >Peter Dunn
 >Peter E. Dunn, Ph.D.
 >Associate Vice President for Research
 >Director, University Research Administration
 >Purdue University
 >610 Purdue Mall
 >West Lafayette, IN 47907
 >765-494-3996 (voice)
 >765-496-2589 (facsimile)
 >
 >----Original Mossage-----
 >From: puherman@ritva.physics.ucla.edu
 >[mailto:puherman@ritva.physics.ucla.edu]
 >Sent: Monday, April 23, 2007 12:30 AM
 >To: Dunn, Peter E.
 >Cc: puherman@ritva.physics.ucla.edu
 >Subject: (No subject header)
>April 22, 2007
>Dear Dr. Dunn;
>This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated April
>11 and received by me in a fedex envelope on April 17. It
>may have arrived at Ucla on April 16.
>Needless to say this is a short fuse.
>Here is my response:
  In March 2006 Purdue issued a statement saying that
>with regard to Taleyarkhan's research Purdue will "conduct
>a thorough review of the work and any concerns expressed
>about it." So why am I- the PI on this project- first
>receiving a request for information one year after your
>published statement.
```

>1) What is the purpose of the current request?
>2) Is the purpose to generate information that would canable Purdue to decide whether or not to form a faculty committee of inquiry or investigation? If so then who decides whether or not to form such a committee?
>3) If a committee of inquiry / investigation has already been formed then I shall be happy to meet with that committee to discuss this important matter and to work with them to determine, and provide, what information they need in order to reach a decision in this matter.

>4) I recommend that you also include Eugenie Reich on your >list of witnesses.

>5) With regard to your list of items comprising research >misconduct I wish to ask if: the generation of false data >via methods that the community of scientists regards as >reckless, constitutes research misconduct.

>Sincerely yours >Seth Putterman > 310-8252269