Appendix B – Tabulation of Allegations

The Inquiry Committee received original allegations from the following individuals and organizations:

- Office of Naval Research (ONR) (anonymous underlying source)
- Kenneth Suslick (University of Illinois)
- Lefteri Tsoukalas (Purdue University)
- Seth Putterman (UCLA).
- Brian Naranjo (UCLA).
- Joshua Walter (Purdue University)

Some persons also endorsed or adopted by reference allegations that originated from others. Several of the allegations were duplicative, overlapping, or open-ended. The Inquiry Committee organized the allegations according to the publication or event that was the primary focus of the allegation.

A. Publication: R. P. Taloyarkhan et al., Evidence for Nuclear Emissions During Acoustic Cavitation, Science 295, 1868 (2002)

- Allegation: Taleyarkhan used ²⁵²Cf to fabricate and/or falsify his bubble fusion replication results.
 - Source: ONR.
- Allegation: Taleyarkhan improperly omitted a negative sonofusion result by deleting the 5-18-01 draft's reference to tritium smear data that was consistent with background contamination.
 - a. Source: Suslick
- Allegation: During the "initial refereeing process" for Taleyarkhan's Science publication, Taleyarkhan suppressed a null result obtained by Saltmarsh and Shapiro in Taleyarkhan's lab using Taleyarkhan's equipment.
 - Source: Suslick
- Allegation: Taleyarkhan selectively processes his experimental data in order to create a misimpression of statistical significance.
 - a. Source: Suslick
- Allegation: Figure 3 is replicated in later publications with subtle data movements.
 - # Source: Suslick; ONR

Conflidential August 27, 2007 Purcher University Final Report of C-22 loquity Committee

- Publication: R. P. Taleyarkhan et al., Additional evidence of nuclear emissions during acoustic cavitation, *Physical Review Letters* 69, 036109 (2004)
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan used ²⁵²Cf to fabricate and/or falsify his bubble fusion replication results.
 - a. Source: ONR
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan selectively processes his experimental data in order to create a misimpression of statistical significance.
 - Source: Suslick
- C. Publication: Y. Xu & A. Butt, Confirmatory experiments for nuclear emissions during acoustic cavitation, Nuclear Engineering and Design 235, 1317 (2005)
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan used ²⁵²Cf to fabricate and/or falsify his bubble fusion replication results.
 - Source: ONR.
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan intentionally left his name off of the publication in order to create a misleading impression of independent confirmation of sonofusion.
 - 4. Source: Suslick
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan managed the generation of the research reported in the NED paper.
 - a. Source: Tsoukalas
 - Allogation: The Xu data must have been fabricated because there is no record of acquisition of previously unused deuterated acetone by personnel in the Xu/Taleyarkhan laboratory.
 - Source: Tsoukalas
 - Allogation: Tuleyarkhan manipulated the press characterization of the Xu research to create a misleading appearance of independent supervision by Tsoukalas.
 - a. Source: Tsoukalas
 - Allegation: The NED and NURETH-11 papers rely upon falsified and/or plagiarized calibration data.
 - Source: Tsoukalas

- D. Publication: Y. Xu et al., Bubble Dynamics and Tritium Emission During Bubble Fusion Experiments, (Oct. 2, 2005) Paper w/ abstract for 11th international topical meeting on nuclear reactor thermal-hydraulics [NURETH-11]
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan used ²⁵²Cf to fabricate and/or falsify his bubble fusion replication results.

Source: ONR

 Allegation: Taleyarkhan intentionally left his name off of the publication in order to create a misleading impression of independent confirmation of sonofusion.

Source: Suslick

- Allegation: Taleyarkhan manipulated the press characterization of the Xu research to create a misleading appearance of independent supervision by Tsoukalas.
 - Source: Tsoukalas.
- E. Publication: R. P. Taleyarkhan et al., Bubble Nuclear Fusion Technology, Multiphase Science & Technology 17, 191-224 (2005)
 - Allegation: MST data is the same as NED/NURETH data, tacking proper attribution to the original sources, leading to the claim that RT "was allegedly (wrongfully) presenting same results as outcome(s) of different experiments."

Source: ONR.

 Allegation: Taleyarkhan used ²³²Cf to fabricate and/or falsify his bubble fusion replication results as reported in NED and NURETH-11.

Source: ONR.

 Allegation: Figure 8b of the MST paper plagiarizes Xu's NED Figure 8 and NURETH Figure 6.

Source: Susilick

- F. Publication: R. P. Taloyarkhun et al., Nuclear Emissions During Self-Nucleated Acoustic Cavitation, Physical Review Letters 96, 034301 (2006)
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan's "PRL publication improperly attributes the neutron energy spectrum to D-D fusion, despite evidence that it is inconsistent with the spectrum expected for D-D fusion and is consistent with the spectrum for ²⁵²Cf."
 - a. Source: ONR; Suslick; Putterman

- Allegation: Taleyarkhan was federally funded for the work reported in Physical Review Letters (PRL 96), and has since allegedly claimed he was not.
 - Source: ONE.
- 3. Allegation: In January 2006, after spectrum analysis was conducted allegedly proving his use of ²³²Cf, Taleyarkhan published fabricated and/or false scientific statements in his PRL: "Introduction.-Previously, we have provided evidence [1(a), 2-4] for 2.45 MeV neutron emission and tritium production during external neutron-seeded cavitation experiments with chilled deuterated acetons, and these observations have now been independently confirmed [5]." Taleyarkhan intentionally left his name off of the Xu publications in order to create a misleading impression of independent confirmation of sonofusion.
 - Source: Suslick; ONR; Tsoukalas
- G. Publication: R.P. Taleyarkhan et al., Reply, Physical Review Letters 97, 149404 (2006)
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan's response to the Naranjo critique was intentionally misleading.
 - Source: Naranjo; Suslick
 - Allegation: In his published response to a forensic analysis by Dr. Brian Naranjo, Taleyarkhan claims to show the same fusion data but, allegedly, actually deleted some of his originally published research results data on fusion signal.
 - Source: ONR.
- H. Demonstration: August 2003 demonstration at ORNL.
 - Allegation: In an August 2003 experiment, Taleyarkhan displayed improper experimental technique and improperly attempted to enlist Walter and Bougaev as endorsing authors of a related publication.
 - Source: Walter: Bougaey
- I. Event: Early 2004 Harassment/Intimidation
 - Allegation: Taleyarkhan interfered with Walter's conduct of a cavitation cell experiment in January-February 2004 in RHPH G60.
 - Source: Walter
- J. Event: Miscellaneous intimidation
 - Source: Walter
- K. Demonstration: Demonstration in RHPH G60 on 9-19-03
 - Allegation: Talcyarkhan fabricated a fusion demonstration in RHPH G60.
 - Source: Walter

L. Presentation: September 2005 presentation at Wayne State

 Allegation: Taleyarkhan falsely cited the Xu publications as an independent confirmation of sonofusion.

Source: Suslick

M. Demonstration: March 2006 DARPA demonstration

 Allegation: Taleyarkham selectively published only his sonofusion signal detections and suppressed that his experiments usually yield a null result (1-in-15 produce a sonofusion signal). Taleyarkham's failure to publish a null result from his DARPA-funded research, including the March 2006 Purdue demonstration, is suppression of lack of reproducibility.

Source: Suslick; Putterman

Allogation: Taleyurkhan selectively processes his experimental data in order to create misimpression of statistical significance.

Source: Suslick

 Allegation: The March 2006 demonstration was a "balt and switch" designed to obstruct inquiry into replication of Taleyarkhan's published experiment configurations. Taleyarkhan obstructed inquiry into the demonstration and into archive of sonofusion equipment and data.

Source: ONR; Suslick

 Allegation: Taleyarkhan altered records regarding charges to the ONR/DARPA account.

Source: Tsoukalas

N. Event: Purdue University's handling of June 2006 Suslick communication

 Allegation: In June 2006, pursuant to Dr. Suslick's allegation that Dr. RT committed fraud; Purdue University officials did not conduct an inquiry into those allegations in violation of their own process.

Source: ONR.

Confidential August 27, 2007 Purcher University Final Report of C-22 Inquity Committee