ENEA Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Environment

15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science

ICCF-15

Roma, Italy
October 5 - 9, 2009

Piezonuclear Reactions in Inert Solids: Neutron Emissions from Brittle Fracture

A. Carpinteri, G. Lacidogna

Department of Structural Engineering and Geotechnics, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

F. Cardone

ISMN – CNR Rome, Italy

References

- Cardone, F., Carpinteri, A., Lacidogna, G.,“Piezonuclear neutrons from fracturing of inert solids”, *Physics Letters A* . doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2009.09.026
- Carpinteri, A., Cardone, F., Lacidogna, G., “Piezonuclear neutrons from brittle fracture: Early results of mechanical compression tests”, *Strain*, 45, 332-339 (2009).
- Fujii, M. F., et al., “ Neutron emission from fracture of piezoelectric materials in deuterium atmosphere”, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, Pt.1, 41, 2115-2119 (2002).
- Preparata, G., “A new look at solid-state fractures, particle emissions and «cold» nuclear fusion”, *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 104 A, 1259-1263 (1991).
- Derjaguin, B. V., et al., “Titanium fracture yields neutrons?”, *Nature*, 34, 492 (1989).

Neutron emission measurements by means of <u>helium-3 neutron detectors</u> were performed on solid test specimens during <u>crushing failure</u>.

The materials used were <u>marble and granite</u>, selected in that they present a different behaviour in compression failure (i.e., a different brittleness index) and a different iron content. All the test specimens were of the same size and shape.

<u>Neutron emissions from the granite test specimens were found to be about one order of magnitude larger than the natural background level at the time of failure.</u>

These neutron emissions were caused by piezonuclear reactions that occurred in the granite, but did not occur in the marble.

Experimental set-up

During the experimental analysis four test specimens were used:

• two made of Carrara marble, calcite, specimens P1 and P2;

• two made of Luserna granite, gneiss, specimens P3 and P4;

• all of them measuring 6x6x10 cm^3.

This choice was prompted by the consideration that, test specimen dimensions being the same, different brittleness coefficients would cause catastrophic failure in granite, not in marble.

The same testing machine was used on all the test specimens: a standard servo-hydraulic press Baldwin with a maximum capacity of 500 kN, equipped with control electronics.

The tests were performed in piston travel displacement control by setting, for all the test specimens, a velocity of 10^{-6} m/s during compression.

Neutron emission measurements were made by means of a helium-3 detector placed at a distance of 10 cm from the test specimen.

The detector was enclosed in a polystyrene case to prevent the results from being altered by acoustical-mechanical stresses.

Neutron emission measurements

Before the loading tests

The neutron background was measured at 600 s time intervals to obtain sufficient statistical data with the detector in the position shown in the previous figure.

The average background count rate was:

$$3.8\times10^{-2} \pm 0.2\times10^{-2} \text{ cps.}$$

During the loading tests

- The neutron measurements obtained on the two Carrara marble specimens yielded values comparable with the background, even at the time of test specimen failure.

- The neutron measurements obtained on the two Luserna granite specimens, instead, exceeded the background value by about one order of magnitude at the test specimen failure.





Specimens P1 and P2 in Carrara marble following compression failure.



Specimens P3 e P4 in Luserna granite following compression failure.



Load vs. time and cps curve for P1 test specimen in Carrara marble.



Load vs. time and cps curve for P2 test specimen in Carrara marble.



Load vs. time and cps curve for P3 test specimen in Luserna granite.



Load vs. time and cps curve for P4 test specimen in Luserna granite.

Factors involved in controlling rock failure



Ductile, brittle and catastrophic behaviour



Energy release and stable vs. unstable stress-strain behaviour

Subsequent stages in the deformation history of a specimen in compression[I] [II]



$$\delta = \varepsilon_c l = \frac{\sigma}{E} l; \qquad \delta = \frac{\sigma}{E} l + w^c; \qquad \delta \geq w^c_{cr}.$$

[I] Carpinteri, A., "Cusp catastrophe interpretation of fracture instability", *J. of Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 37, 567-582 (1989).

[II] Carpinteri, A., Corrado, M., "An extended (fractal) overlapping crack model to describe crushing size-scale effects in compression", *Eng. Failure Analysis*, 16, 2530-2540 (2009).

Stress vs. displacement response of a specimen in compression



Normal softening

Vertical drop

Catastrophic behaviour

Elastic strain energy at the peak load, ΔE

Test specimen	Material	ΔE [J]
P1	Carrara marble	124
P2	Carrara marble	128
P3	Luserna granite	384
P4	Luserna granite	296

Threshold of energy rate for piezonuclear reactions [(III)] [(IV)]:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta t} \sim 7.69 \times 10^{11}\,\mathrm{W} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta t \sim 0.5\,\mathrm{ns}$$

Extension of the energy release zone:

$$\Delta x = v\,\Delta t \sim 4000\,\mathrm{m/s} \times 0.5\,\mathrm{ns} \sim 2\,\mu\mathrm{m}$$

Comparison with the critical value of the interpenetration length:

$$\Delta x \sim w_{cr}^{c}\ ?$$



(III) Cardone, F., Mignani, R., “Piezonuclear reactions and Lorenz invariance breakdown”, *Int. J. of Modern Physics E, Nuclear Physics*, 15 (901), 911-924 (2006).

(IV) Cardone, F., Mignani, R., Deformed Spacetime, Springer, Dordrecht, 2007, chaps 16 -17.

Evolution of metal abundances in the Earth Crust

- Based on the appearance after the experiments of aluminium atoms, our conjecture is that the following nucleolysis or piezonuclear “fission” reaction could have occurred:

$$Fe_{26}^{30} \rightarrow 2\,Al_{13}^{14} + 2 \text{ neutrons.}$$

- The present natural abundance of aluminum (7-8% in the Earth crust), which is less favoured than iron from a nuclear point of view, is possibly due to the above piezonuclear fission reaction.

- This reaction –less infrequent than we could think– would be activated where the environment conditions (pressure and temperature) are particularly severe, and mechanical phenomena of fracture, crushing, fragmentation, comminution, erosion, friction, etc., may occur.

- If we consider the evolution of the percentages of the most abundant elements in the Earth crust during the last 3 billion years, we realize that iron and nickel have drastically diminished, whereas aluminum and silicon have as much increased:

$$\mathrm{Ni}_{28}^{31} \rightarrow 2\,\mathrm{Si}_{14}^{14} + 3 \text{ neutrons.}$$

- It is also interesting to realize that such increases have developed mainly in the tectonic regions, where frictional phenomena between the continental plates occurred.

- Many other clues and quantitative data could be presented in favour of the piezonuclear fission reactions, and this will be the subject of a next publication.



(1) Favero G. and Jobstraibizer P., "The Distribution of Aluminium in the Earth: From Cosmogenesis to Sial Evolution", *Coord.Chem. Rev.*, 149, 467- 400 (1996).

(2) Konhauser, K O. et al., "Oceanic Nickel Depletion and a Methanogen Famine Before the Great Oxidation Event, *Nature*, 458, 750–754 (2009).

(3) Anbar A. D.," Elements and Evolution", *Science*, 322, 1481-1482 (2008).