

At 07:55 AM 2/17/2010, you wrote:

Dear Stevonn,

following my telephone call of yesterday, the information are as following:

Name: Andrea ROSSI
Patent: wo/2009/125444

* According to some "rumors", after his arrival to USA (July 2009), Andrea was working for 2-3 month at Boston (MIT???) and later he had to move to Washington DC (Navy Lab).
At Boston he reproduced the result before obtained in Italy (about 1kW, power gain 20-30). At Washington he got power as large as 10-30kW.

Please, let me know more information, if any.

Francesco

Steven Krivit ha scritto:

one thing...WO is not a patent. It is an international patent **application**.

----- Messaggio Originale -----

Oggetto: Re: URGENT: Italian Patent

Data: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 10:01:44 +0100

Da: Francesco Celani <francesco.celani@Inf.infn.it>

A: Steven Krivit

Dear Steven,

I am sending the TEXT of the Patent.

Later I will try to send the document with the figures.

Francesco

Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 20:43:54 -0800

To: "celani-Inf.infn.it":celani@Inf.infn.it

From: Steven Krivit

Subject: Fwd: Re: [Fwd: Re: URGENT: Italian Patent]

Disinformation.

Dear Steven,

what do You mean about the world "**disinformation**"?

Do You have some more details about, as I asked to You?

As I told You several times, **I DON'T HAVE DIRECT INFORMATION but only RUMORS (that I don't like at all!!!)**.

Please, let me know the direct information/proof, if You were able to get, **ASAP**.

Thanks for Your kind collaboration,

Francesco

Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 11:10:32 -0800
To: Francesco Celani <francesco.celani@Inf.infn.it>
From: Steven Krivit
Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: [Fwd: Re: URGENT: Italian Patent]

I think somebody has deliberately given you disinformation. There is no more information that I know. It smells like bullshit.

Date: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 09:37:44 -0800
To: Francesco Celani <francesco.celani@Inf.infn.it>
From: Steven Krivit
Subject: Re: Patent of Rossi, COMPLETE.

Amico Francesco,

It is **NOT** a patent.

Steven

At 02:01 AM 2/26/2010, you wrote:

Dear Steven,

Perhaps I understood the mis-understanding: it is a Patent application, NOT a PATENT approved.

Is it correct?

* BTW, I will try to get information at MIT by myself about experiments of Rossi.

Thanks for Your help.

Francesco

Date: Fri, 26 Feb 2010 10:34:55 -0800
To: Francesco Celani <francesco.celani@Inf.infn.it>
From: Steven Krivit
Subject: Re: Patent of Rossi, COMPLETE.

CORRECT!

WO means international application. Until and unless a patent is granted, it is niente. Worthless.

At 01:39 AM 3/1/2010, you wrote:

Caro Steven,

grazie.

Anyway, if You can still get information about the work of such Engineer in USA, it can be useful.

Francesco

A presto.

Date: Mon, 01 Mar 2010 09:41:10 -0800
To: Francesco Celani <francesco.celani@Inf.infn.it>
From: Steven Krivit
Subject: Re: Patent of Rossi, COMPLETE.

Carissimo Francesco,

Va bene! A presto,

Steven

Date: Sun, 07 Mar 2010 19:42:46 -0800
To: "celani-Inf.infn.it":
From: Steven Krivit
Subject: Latest Focardi-Rossi paper is attached

Carissimo Francesco,

Well, the truth is that this paper is quietly getting some attention. But I think the paper is a lot of exaggeration. But I do think the underlying concepts are very valid.

Will I see you at ACS in San Francisco?

Ciao,

Steven